## METU, Spring 2012, Math 515.

## Homework 3

(due April 2)

1. A nonzero $A$-module $M$ is called irreducible if 0 and $M$ are the only submodules of $M$.

- Determine all the irreducible Z-modules.
- Show that $M$ is irreducible if and only if $M \cong A / \mathfrak{m}$ as $A$-modules where $\mathfrak{m}$ is a maximal ideal of $A$.
- If $M$ is irreducible then prove that $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(M, M)$ is a field. What about the converse, is $M$ irreducible if $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(M, M)$ is a field?

2. Let $f^{\prime}, f, f^{\prime \prime}$ be $A$-module homomorphisms such that the following diagram commutes and suppose that the rows are exact. If $f^{\prime}$ and $f^{\prime \prime}$ are surjective then show that $f$ is surjective.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow M^{\prime} \rightarrow M \rightarrow M^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow f^{\prime} \quad \downarrow f \quad \downarrow f^{\prime \prime} \\
& 0 \rightarrow N^{\prime} \rightarrow N \rightarrow N^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Show the exactness at $\operatorname{Coker}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ in the sequence obtained by the snake lemma when it is applied to the commutative diagram above.

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(f) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker}\left(f^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Coker}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Coker}(f) \rightarrow \operatorname{Coker}\left(f^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

4. Give an exact sequence of $A$-modules

$$
0 \rightarrow M^{\prime} \rightarrow M \rightarrow M^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

and an $A$-module $N$ such that

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(N, M^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(N, M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(N, M^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

is not exact.
5. Let $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ be a basis of $\mathbf{R}^{2}$. Show that the element $e_{1} \otimes e_{2}+e_{2} \otimes e_{1}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{2} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}^{2}$ cannot be written as a simple tensor $v \otimes w$ for any $v, w \in \mathbf{R}^{2}$.
6. Is $\mathbf{Q}$ a flat $\mathbf{Z}$-module? Prove or disprove.

