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#### Abstract

A finite group $F H$ is said to be Frobenius-like if it has a nontrivial nilpotent normal subgroup $F$ with a nontrivial complement $H$ such that $[F, h]=F$ for all nonidentity elements $h \in H$. Suppose that a finite group $G$ admits a Frobenius-like group of automorphisms $F H$ of coprime order with $\left[F^{\prime}, H\right]=1$. In case where $C_{G}(F)=1$ we prove that the groups $G$ and $C_{G}(H)$ have the same nilpotent length under certain additional assumptions.


## 1. Introduction

A finite group $A$ is defined in [5] to be Frobenius-like with kernel $F$ and complement $H$, if it has a nontrivial nilpotent normal subgroup $F$, and a nontrivial subgroup $H$ with $A=F H, F \cap H=1$ and $[F, h]=F$ for all nonidentity elements $h \in H$. The title of this paper refers to Frobenius-like groups $F H$ in which the derived subgroup $F^{\prime}$ of $F$ is centralized by $H$. In this case one sees easily using the Three Subgroup Lemma that $F^{\prime} \leqslant Z(F H)$. Extraspecial groups $F$ admitting a group of automorphisms $H$ which acts semiregularly on $F / F^{\prime}$ and centralizes $F^{\prime}$ provide examples $F H$ of Frobenius-like groups which contain $F^{\prime}$ in the center. In [2] and [4] we investigated the structure of solvable groups $G$ which admit a Frobenius-like group of the above type for which $F^{\prime}$ is of prime order. It is observed in the present paper that whenever the action of $F^{\prime}$ on the top Fitting factor of $G$ is Frobenius, that is, $\left(G / F_{n-1}(G)\right) F^{\prime}$ is a Frobenius group; the condition that $F^{\prime}$ is of prime order can be replaced by the weaker condition that $F^{\prime}$ has a maximal subgroup of prime power order. It should be noted that the group $F^{\prime}$ is cyclic under the assumption that its action on the top Fitting factor of $G$ is Frobenius. Namely we prove

Theorem Let $G$ be a finite group of odd order of nilpotent length $n$ admitting a Frobenius-like group of automorphisms FH of odd coprime order with kernel F and complement $H$ with $\left[F^{\prime}, H\right]=1$ such that $C_{G}(F)=1$. Suppose that $F^{\prime}$ has a maximal subgroup of prime power order and its action on the group $G / F_{n-1}(G)$ is Frobenius, then the nilpotent length of $C_{G}(H)$ is $n$.

The key result which is crucial in proving this theorem is as follows.
Proposition Let FH be a Frobenius-like group of odd order with $\left[F^{\prime}, H\right]=1$ acting on a $q$-group $Q$ of class at most 2 for some odd prime $q$ coprime to the order

[^0]of FH. Let $V$ be a $k Q F H$-module on which $F$ acts fixed point freely where $k$ is a field of characteristic not dividing $|Q F H|$. Assume further that for any nonidentity $x \in F^{\prime}$ and for any irreducible $Q$-submodule $U$ of $V,[Q, x]$ acts nontrivially on $U$. Then we have
$$
\operatorname{Ker}\left(C_{[Q, F]}(H) \text { on } C_{V}(H)\right)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(C_{[Q, F]}(H) \text { on } V\right) .
$$

Here we use alternative notation for the kernel of an action of a group $A$ by automorphisms on a group $B$ denoting $\operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{Aon} B):=C_{A}(B)$ in order to avoid cumbersome subscripts.

Remark It is well known that in the study of Hall-Higman type theorems some exceptional cases do really occur due to the existence of Fermat or/and Mersenne primes and nonabelian Sylow 2-subgroups. Although we have been able to prove the above theorems without assuming that the relevant groups are of odd order, but under conditions which help to avoid the exceptional situations, we have stated them only for groups of odd order, in order to make the arguments as clear as possible. Otherwise the hypotheses of the theorems would be so complicated that one would have difficulties in appreciating the main idea. More precisely, in views of [3] and [6], our result could actually be reformulated as Theorem* below using the following hypothesis ( ${ }^{*}$ ):
(*) Sylow 2-subgroups of $H$ are cyclic and normal, $F$ has no extraspecial sections of order $p^{2 m+1}$ where $p^{m}+1=\left|H_{1}\right|$ for some subgroup $H_{1} \leqslant H$, and $|G|$ is not divisible by any prime $q$ such that $q^{f}+1$ divides $\exp \left(F^{\prime}\right)$ for some positive integer $f$.

Theorem* Let $G$ be a finite group of nilpotent length n admitting a Frobeniuslike group of automorphisms FH of coprime order with kernel $F$ and complement $H$ such that $C_{G}(F)=1$. Suppose that $\left[F^{\prime}, H\right]=1$, $F^{\prime}$ has a maximal subgroup of prime power order and its action on the group $G / F_{n-1}(G)$ is Frobenius. If $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ holds then the nilpotent length of $C_{G}(H)$ is $n$.

## 2. PROOF OF THE PROPOSITION

The following lemma will be used in the proof of the proposition.
Lemma 2.1. Let FH be a Frobenius-like group with $\left[F^{\prime}, H\right]=1$. For any subgroup $S$ of $F$ which is normalized but not centralized by $H$, the group $[S, H] H$ is a Frobeniuslike group with kernel $[S, H]$.
Proof. Set $R=[S, H]$ and $\hat{R}=R / \Phi(R)$. Pick a nonidentity element $h \in H$. Clearly, we have $[\hat{R}, h]=\widehat{[R, h]}$. If $[\hat{R}, h] \neq \hat{R}$ then $C_{R}(h)=R \cap C_{F}(h)=R \cap F^{\prime}$ is not contained in $\Phi(R)$ and is centralized by $H$. This contradicts the fact that $[R, H]=R$. Therefore $[R, h]=R$ for each nonidentity $h \in H$, establishing the claim.

Now we are ready to present a proof for the proposition.

Suppose that the proposition is false and choose a counterexample with minimum $\operatorname{dim}_{k} V+|Q F H|$. To ease the notation we set $K=\operatorname{Ker}\left(C_{[Q, F]}(H)\right.$ on $\left.C_{V}(H)\right)$. We proceed over several steps.
(1) We may assume that $k$ is a splitting field for all subgroups of $Q F H$.

Proof. We consider the $Q F H$-module $\bar{V}=V \otimes_{k} \bar{k}$ where $\bar{k}$ is the algebraic closure of $k$. Notice that $\operatorname{dim}_{k} V=\operatorname{dim}_{\bar{k}} \bar{V}$ and $C_{\bar{V}}(H)=C_{V}(H) \otimes_{k} \bar{k}$. Therefore once the proposition has been proven for the group $Q F H$ on $\bar{V}$, it becomes true for $Q F H$ on $V$ also.
(2) We have $Q=[Q, F]$ and hence $C_{Q}(F) \leqslant Q^{\prime} \leqslant Z(Q)$.

Proof. If there is a nonidentity $x \in F^{\prime}$ such that $[[Q, F], x]$ acts trivially on an irreducible $[Q, F]$-submodule $X$ of $V$, then $[Q, x, x]=[Q, x]$ acts trivially on $X$. Since $[Q, x] \unlhd Q$, the module $X^{Q}=\Sigma_{y \in Q} X^{y}$ is centralized by $[Q, x]$ and hence there is an irreducible $Q$-submodule of $V$ which is centralized by $[Q, x]$. Therefore the action of $[Q, F] F H$ on $V$ satisfies the hypothesis of the proposition. So if $[Q, F] \neq Q$, the proposition holds for the group $[Q, F] F H$ on $V$ by induction. That is, the conclusion of the proposition is true as we have $[Q, F, F]=[Q, F]$. This contradiction shows that $[Q, F]=Q$ and hence $C_{Q}(F) \leqslant Q^{\prime} \leqslant Z(Q)$ as claimed.
(3) $V$ is an irreducible $Q F H$-module on which $Q$ acts faithfully.

Proof. As char (k) is coprime to the order of $Q$ and $K \neq 1$, there is a $Q F H$ composition factor $W$ of $V$ on which $K$ acts nontrivially. If $W \neq V$, then the proposition is true for the group $Q F H$ on $W$ by induction. That is,

$$
\operatorname{Ker}\left(C_{Q}(H) \text { on } C_{W}(H)\right)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(C_{Q}(H) \text { on } W\right)
$$

and hence

$$
K=\operatorname{Ker}\left(K \text { on } C_{W}(H)\right)=\operatorname{Ker}(K \text { on } W)
$$

which is a contradiction with the assumption that $K$ acts nontrivially on $W$. Hence $V=W$.

We next set $\bar{Q}=Q / \operatorname{Ker}(Q$ on $V)$ and consider the action of the group $\bar{Q} F H$ on $V$ assuming $\operatorname{Ker}(Q$ on $V) \neq 1$. An induction argument gives $\operatorname{Ker}\left(C_{\bar{Q}}(H)\right.$ on $\left.C_{V}(H)\right)=$ $\operatorname{Ker}\left(C_{\bar{Q}}(H)\right.$ on $\left.V\right)$. This leads to a contradiction as $C_{\bar{Q}}(H)=\overline{C_{Q}(H)}$ due to the coprime action of $H$ on $Q$. Thus we may assume that $Q$ acts faithfully on $V$.

By Clifford's theorem the restriction of the $Q F H$-module $V$ to the normal subgroup $Q$ is a direct sum of $Q$-homogeneous components.
(4) Let $\Omega$ denote the set of $Q$-homogeneous components of $V$. Then $F$ acts transitively on $\Omega$ and $H$ fixes an element of $\Omega$.
Proof. Let $\Omega_{1}$ be an $F$-orbit on $\Omega$ and set $H_{1}=\operatorname{Stab}_{H}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$. Suppose first that $H_{1}=1$. Pick an element $W$ from $\Omega_{1}$. Clearly, we have $\operatorname{Stab}_{H}(W) \leqslant H_{1}=1$ and hence the sum $X=\sum_{h \in H} W^{h}$ is direct. It is straightforward to verify that $C_{X}(H)=\left\{\sum_{h \in H} v^{h}: v \in W\right\}$. By definition, $K$ acts trivially on $C_{X}(H)$. Note also that $K$ normalizes each $W^{h}$ as $K \leqslant Q$. It follows now that $K$ is trivial on $X$. Notice that the action of $H$ on the set of $F$-orbits on $\Omega$ is transitive, and $K \leqslant C_{Q}(H)$. Hence $K$ is trivial on the whole of $V$, which is a contradiction. Thus $H_{1} \neq 1$.

The group $H$ acts transitively on $\left\{\Omega_{i}: i=1,2, \ldots, s\right\}$, the collection of $F$-orbits on $\Omega$. Let now $V_{i}=\bigoplus_{W \in \Omega_{i}} W$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, s$. Suppose now that $H_{1}$ is a proper subgroup of $H$, equivalently, $s>1$. By induction the proposition holds for the group $Q F H_{1}$ on $V_{1}$, that is,

$$
\operatorname{Ker}\left(C_{Q}\left(H_{1}\right) \text { on } C_{V_{1}}\left(H_{1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(C_{Q}\left(H_{1}\right) \text { on } V_{1}\right) .
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ker}\left(C_{Q}(H) \text { on } C_{V_{1}}\left(H_{1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(C_{Q}(H) \text { on } V_{1}\right) .
$$

On the other hand we observe that

$$
C_{V}(H)=\left\{u^{x_{1}}+u^{x_{2}}+\cdots+u^{x_{s}}: u \in C_{V_{1}}\left(H_{1}\right)\right\}
$$

where $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ is a complete set of right coset representatives of $H_{1}$ in $H$. By definition, $K$ acts trivially on $C_{V}(H)$ and normalizes each $V_{i}$. Then $K$ is trivial on $C_{V_{1}}\left(H_{1}\right)$ and hence on $V_{1}$. As $K$ is normalized by $H$ we see that $K$ is trivial on each $V_{i}$ and hence on $V$, a contradiction. Therefore $H_{1}=H$ so that $\Omega=\Omega_{1}$ and $F$ acts transitively on $\Omega$ as desired.

Let now $S=\operatorname{Stab}_{F H}(W)$ and $F_{1}=F \cap S$. Then $\left|F: F_{1}\right|=|\Omega|=|F H: S|$ and so $\left|S: F_{1}\right|=|H|$. Notice next that as $\left(\left|F_{1}\right|,|H|\right)=1$ there exists a complement, say $S_{1}$, of $F_{1}$ in $S$ with $|H|=\left|S_{1}\right|$ by Schur-Zassenhaus theorem. Therefore by passing, if necessary, to a conjugate of $W$ in $\Omega$, we may assume that $S=F_{1} H$, that is, $W$ is $H$-invariant. This establishes the claim.

From now on $W$ will denote an $H$-invariant element in $\Omega$ the existence of which is established by (4). It should be noted that the group $Z(Q / \operatorname{Ker}(Q$ on $W)$ ) acts by scalars on the homogeneous $Q$-module $W$, and so $[Z(Q), H] \leqslant \operatorname{Ker}(Q$ on $W)$ as $W$ is stabilized by $H$. Set $L=K \cap Z\left(C_{Q}(H)\right)$. Since $1 \neq K \unlhd C_{Q}(H)$, the group $L$ is nontrivial.
(5) Set $U=\sum_{x \in F^{\prime}} W^{x}$ and $F_{2}=\operatorname{Stab}_{F}(U)$. Then $[L, Q] \leqslant C_{Q}(U)$.

Proof. Note that $Z_{2}(Q)=Q$ by the hypothesis and $Q=[Q, H] C_{Q}(H)$ as $(|Q|,|H|)=$ 1. We have $[Q, L, H] \leqslant[Z(Q), H] \leqslant C_{Q}(W)$. We also have $[L, H, Q]=1$ as $[L, H]=$ 1. It follows now by the Three Subgroup Lemma that $[H, Q, L] \leqslant C_{Q}(W)$. On the other hand $\left[C_{Q}(H), L\right]=1$ by the definition of $L$. Thus $[L, Q] \leqslant C_{Q}(W)$. Since the group $[L, Q]$ is $F^{\prime}$-invariant as $\left[F^{\prime}, H\right]=1$, we conclude that $[L, Q] \leqslant C_{Q}(U)$.
(6) $F_{2}=F_{1} F^{\prime}$ is a proper subgroup of $F$, and $K^{x}$ acts trivially on $U$ for every $x \in F-F_{2}$. Moreover, $C_{V}(H) \neq 0$.

Proof. For $F_{2}=\operatorname{Stab}_{F}(U)$, clearly we have $F^{\prime} \leqslant F_{2}$ and $F_{1}=\operatorname{Stab}_{F}(W) \leqslant F_{2}$. This gives $F_{2}=F_{1} F^{\prime}$. Assume that $F=F_{2}$. This forces the equality $V=U$ as $F$ is transitive on $\Omega$ by (4). In fact we have $F=F_{1}=F_{2}$ and so $V=W=U$ as $F^{\prime} \leqslant$ $\Phi(F)$. Then $\left[L^{F_{2}}, Q\right] \leqslant C_{Q}(V)=1$ by (5) and hence $L^{F_{2}} \leqslant Z(Q)$. Now $Z(Q)$ and hence $L$ acts by scalars on the homogeneous $Q$-module $V$. Notice that $C_{V}(H) \neq 0$ by Corollary 3.4 in [4] applied to the action of $F H$ on $V$. Since $L / \operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{Lon} V)$ acts faithfully and by scalars on $V$, we get $L \leqslant \operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{Lon} V)$, which is not the case. Consequently, in any case $F \neq F_{2}$.

Pick $x \in F-F_{2}$ and suppose that there exists $1 \neq h \in H$ such that $\left(U^{x}\right)^{h}=U^{x}$ holds. Then $\left[h, x^{-1}\right] \in F_{2}$ and so $F_{2} x=F_{2} x^{h}=\left(F_{2} x\right)^{h}$. The Frobenius action
of $H$ on $F / F_{2}$ gives that $x \in F_{2}$, a contradiction. That is, for each $x \in F-F_{2}$, $\operatorname{Stab}_{H}\left(U^{x}\right)=1$. In particular, $H$-orbit of $U^{x}$ is regular and hence we conclude that $C_{V}(H) \neq 0$.

Set now $U_{1}=U^{x}$ for some $x \in F-F_{2}$. The sum $Y=\sum_{h \in H} U_{1}{ }^{h}$ is direct by the preceding paragraph. It is straightforward to verify that $C_{Y}(H)=$ $\left\{\sum_{h \in H} v^{h}: v \in U_{1}\right\}$. By definition, $K$ acts trivially on $C_{Y}(H)$. Note also that $K$ normalizes each $U_{1}{ }^{h}$ for every $h \in H$ as $K \leqslant Q$. It follows now that $K$ is trivial on $Y$ and hence trivial on $U^{x}$ for every $x \in F-F_{2}$ which is equivalent to that $K^{x}$ acts trivially on $U$ for all $x \in F-F_{2}$ as desired.
(7) $L \leqslant Z(Q)$ and hence the group $L C_{Q}(W) / \operatorname{Ker}(Q$ on $W)$ acts by scalars on $W$.

Proof. Recall that $[L, Q] \leqslant \operatorname{Ker}(Q$ on $U)$ by (5). This gives $\left[L^{F_{2}}, Q\right] \leqslant \operatorname{Ker}(Q$ on $U)$. On the other hand $\left[L^{x}, Q\right] \leqslant[\operatorname{Ker}(Q$ on $U), Q] \leqslant \operatorname{Ker}(Q$ on $U)$ for any $x \in F-F_{2}$ by (6). Then we have $\left[L^{F}, Q\right] \leqslant \operatorname{Ker}(Q$ on $U)$. It follows that $\left[L^{F}, Q\right] \leqslant \operatorname{Ker}(Q$ on $V)=$ 1 , that is $L^{F} \leqslant Z(Q)$.
(8) $C_{U}(H)=0,\left[U,\left[F_{2}, H\right]\right]=0$, and hence $\left[Q,\left[F_{2}, H\right]\right] \leqslant \operatorname{Ker}(Q$ on $U)$.

Proof. It should be noted that the group $\left[F_{2}, H\right] H$ is Frobenius-like by Lemma. If $\left[U,\left[F_{2}, H\right]\right] \neq 0$ then Corollary 3.4 in [4] applied to the action of $\left[F_{2}, H\right] H$ on $U$ gives that $C_{U}(H) \neq 0$. This forces that $C_{W}(H) \neq 0$ and hence $L$ acts trivially on $W$, which is a contradiction. Therefore we have $C_{U}(H)=0$ and $\left[U,\left[F_{2}, H\right]\right]=0$. As a consequence, $\left[U,\left[F_{2}, H\right], Q\right]=0=\left[Q, U,\left[F_{2}, H\right]\right]$. It follows by the three subgroup lemma that $\left[Q,\left[F_{2}, H\right]\right] \leqslant \operatorname{Ker}(Q$ on $U)$.
(9) $\left[F_{2}, H\right]=\left[F_{1}, H\right]$ and $\left[F_{1}, H\right] \cap F^{\prime}=1$.

Proof. By (8), $\left[F_{1}, H\right] \cap F^{\prime} \leqslant \operatorname{Ker}(Z(F)$ on $W)$ and hence trivial.
(10) The theorem follows.

Proof. Notice that $C_{W}\left(F_{1}\right)=0=C_{U}\left(F_{2}\right)$ as $C_{V}(F)=0$. Suppose first that $F_{1}=$ $F_{2}=\left[F_{1}, H\right] F^{\prime}$. In case $C_{W}\left(F^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ we apply Corollary 3.4 in [4] to the action of the Frobenius group $\left(F_{1} / F^{\prime}\right) H$ on $C_{W}\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ and see that $C_{W}\left(F^{\prime}\right)_{H}$ is free. Since $C_{W}(H)=0$ by (8) we get $C_{W}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=0$. Suppose next that $F_{1} \neq F_{2}=F_{1} F^{\prime}$. In fact $F_{2}=\left[F_{1}, H\right] \times F^{\prime}$. Notice that $C_{U}\left(F_{2}\right)=0=\left[U,\left[F_{1}, H\right]\right]$ whence $C_{U}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=$ 0 . As $Q F^{\prime} \triangleleft Q F_{2} H$ and $U$ is an irreducible $Q F_{2} H$-module, we can consider the decomposition of $U$ into its $Q F^{\prime}$-homogeneous components. Let $Y$ be one of these components. Recall that $F^{\prime}$ is cyclic. By Theorem 4.1 in [6] applied to the action of $Q F^{\prime}$ on $Y$ we get $x \in F^{\prime}$ such that $[Q, x] \leqslant \operatorname{Ker}(Q$ on $Y)$. As $[Q, x]$ is $F H$-invariant we have $[Q, x] \leqslant \operatorname{Ker}(Q$ on $U)$ and so $[Q, x] \leqslant \operatorname{Ker}(Q$ on $V)=1$. This contradiction completes the proof.

The following example shows that the faithfulness of $F^{\prime}$ on $Q / \operatorname{Ker}(Q$ on $U)$ for any irreducible $Q$-submodule $U$ of $V$ is indispensable.

Example. Let $p$ be an odd prime so that $p-1$ is divisible by three distinct primes $q, r$ and $s$ with $s$ dividing $r+1$; for example $p=211, q=7, r=5$ and $s=3$. Let $V_{1}$ be the additive group of the field with $p$ elements. The multiplicative group of this field contains a cyclic group of order $q r s$ which acts by multiplication on $V_{1}$ by
automorphisms. Let us denote the cyclic subgroups of this group of orders $q, r$ and $s$ respectively by $Q_{1}, F_{1}$ and $H$. So $Q_{1} \times F_{1} \times H \leqslant \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$ and the semidirect product $V_{1}\left(Q_{1} \times F_{1} \times H\right)$ is a Frobenius group of order pqrs.

Let $F=E_{1} \times E_{2}$ where $E_{i}, i=1,2$, are extraspecial groups of order $r^{3}$ and exponent $r$. Let $Z\left(E_{i}\right)=\left\langle z_{i}\right\rangle, i=1,2$, and $\tau=z_{1} z_{2}$. Identify $\langle\tau\rangle\left(\cong \mathbb{Z}_{5}\right)$ with $F_{1}$.

Each $E_{i}$ and hence $F$ admits an automorphism of order $s$ acting trivially on $F^{\prime}$ and regularly on $F / F^{\prime}$. We identify the group generated by this automorphism with $H$.

Since $H$ is of odd order and acts semiregularly on $F / F^{\prime}$ we can choose a transversal $T$ for $F_{1}$ in $F$ such that
(1) $\left\langle z_{1}\right\rangle \subset T$,
(2) $T$ is closed with respect to taking inverses,
(3) $T$ is $H$-invariant.

Then $T$ is a disjoint union of $H$-orbits $T_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, m$, where $T_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, r$, are orbits of length 1 , with $T_{1} \cup T_{2} \cup \ldots \cup T_{r}=\left\langle z_{1}\right\rangle$ and $T_{i}, i=r+1, r+2, \ldots, m$, are the orbits of length $s$.

The group $F_{1}$ acts by automorphisms on $G=V_{1} Q_{1}$. This allows to define an action of $F$ by automorphisms on the group $B=\left\{\left(g_{t}\right)_{t \in T}: g_{t} \in G, t \in T\right\}$ with componentwise operation by

$$
\left[\left(g_{t}\right)_{t \in T}\right]^{\sigma}:=\left(g_{t \cdot u^{-1}}^{a\left(t, u^{-1}\right)^{-1} z}\right)_{t \in T}
$$

where $z \in F_{1}, u \in T$ and $\sigma=z u$ where for any $x$ and $y$ in $T$ we have a uniquely determined element $x \cdot y \in T$ and a uniquely determined element $a(x, y)$ of $F_{1}$ such that

$$
x y=a(x, y) x \cdot y
$$

Consider the semidirect product $B F$. The action of $H$ on $G$ and on $F$ can be extended to an action of $H$ on $B F$ as follows:

$$
\left[\left(g_{t}\right)_{t \in T} \sigma\right]^{h}:=\left[\left(g_{h t h^{-1}}\right)^{h}\right]_{t \in T} \sigma^{h}
$$

Let $V_{0}=\left\{\left(x_{t}\right)_{t \in T}: x_{t} \in V_{1}, t \in T\right\}, Q_{0}=\left\{\left(x_{t}\right)_{t \in T}: x_{t} \in Q_{1}, t \in T\right\}$. From now on we denote $\left\{\left(x_{t}\right)_{t \in T}: x_{u} \in V_{1}, x_{t}=1\right.$ for any $\left.u \neq t \in T\right\}$ by $V_{u}, u \in T$ (this needs of course the identification of the group $V_{1}$ with the subgroup of $V_{0}$ defined as $\left\{\left(x_{t}\right)_{t \in T}: x_{1} \in V_{1}, x_{t}=1\right.$ for $\left.\left.1 \neq t \in T\right\} \cong V_{1}\right)$ and $\left\{\left(x_{t}\right)_{t \in T}: x_{u} \in Q_{1}, x_{t}=1\right.$ for any $u \neq t \in T\}$ by $Q_{u}, u \in T$ (this needs of course the identification of the group $Q_{1}$ with the subgroup of $Q_{0}$ defined as $\left\{\left(x_{t}\right)_{t \in T}: x_{1} \in Q_{1}, x_{t}=1\right.$ for $\left.\left.1 \neq t \in T\right\} \cong Q_{1}\right)$.

Then $V_{0}$ is elementary abelian of order $p^{|T|}, Q_{0}$ is elementary abelian of order $q^{|T|}$, $V_{0} \unlhd B=V_{0} Q_{0}$. Both $V_{0}$ and $Q_{0}$ are $F H$-invariant.

Each $V_{t}$ is $F_{1}$-invariant and $F_{1}$ acts fixed point freely on $V_{t}, t \in T$. Thus $C_{V_{0}}(F)=$ $C_{V_{0}}\left(F_{1}\right)=1$. We also have $\left[Q_{0}, F_{1}\right]=1$.

Let $H=\langle h\rangle$ and let $U=\left\{u, h u h^{-1}, h^{2} u h^{-2}, \ldots, h^{s-1} u h^{-s+1}\right\}$ be an $H$-orbit on $T$ of length $s$ for some $u \in T$. Then $H$ normalizes the subspace $\bigoplus_{t \in U} V_{t}=$ $V_{U}$ and if $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{s}\right) \in V_{u} \oplus V_{h u h^{-1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{h^{s-1} u h^{-s+1}}$ then $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{s}\right)^{h}=$ $\left(a_{s}^{h}, a_{1}^{h}, a_{2}^{h}, \ldots, a_{s-1}^{h}\right)$ and hence the set of fixed points of $H$ on $V_{U}$ consists of $\left(a, a^{h}, \ldots, a^{h^{s-1}}\right), a \in V_{u}$. On the other hand $H$ acts fixed point freely on $V_{t}$ for any $t \in\left\langle z_{1}\right\rangle$. This shows that $C_{V_{0}}(H)$ is a subspace of dimension $m-r$ and gives how we can write down explicitly that subspace, making use of $V_{0}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \bigoplus_{t \in T_{i}} V_{t}$.

Let $K_{0}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \bigoplus_{t \in T_{i}} Q_{t}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} Q_{z_{1}^{i}}$. Then $K_{0} \leqslant C_{Q_{0}}(H)$ and $\left[K_{0}, C_{V_{0}}(H)\right]=1$. Set $V=\left[V_{0}, F\right]=V_{0}$ and $Q=\left[Q_{0}, F\right]$ and consider the group $V Q F H$. Here $F H$ is a Frobenius-like group acting on the group $V Q$ by automorphisms such that $C_{V Q}(F)=1$. Let $K_{1}=K_{0} \cap Q$. Since $\left\langle z_{1}\right\rangle$ acts on $K_{0}$ and $\left[K_{0},\left\langle z_{1}\right\rangle\right]$ is a maximal subspace of $K_{0}$ (of dimension $r-1$ ) we see that $K_{1}$ is nontrivial and does not centralize $V$. One can observe that $K_{1} \leqslant \operatorname{Ker}\left(C_{Q}(H)\right.$ on $C_{V}(H)$ ).

## 3. proof of Theorem

As $(|G|,|F H|)=1$ and the action of $F^{\prime}$ on the group $G / F_{n-1}(G)$ is Frobenius, there exists an irreducible $F H$-tower $\hat{P}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{P}_{n}$ in the sense of $[7]$ where
(a) $\hat{P}_{i}$ is an $F H$-invariant $p_{i}$-subgroup, $p_{i}$ is a prime, $p_{i} \neq p_{i+1}$, for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$;
(b) $\hat{P}_{i} \leqslant N_{G}\left(\hat{P}_{j}\right)$ whenever $i \leqslant j$;
(c) $P_{n}=\hat{P}_{n}$ and $P_{i}=\hat{P}_{i} / C_{\hat{P}_{i}}\left(P_{i+1}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ and $P_{i} \neq 1$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$;
(d) $\Phi\left(\Phi\left(P_{i}\right)\right)=1, \Phi\left(P_{i}\right) \leqslant Z\left(P_{i}\right)$, and $\exp \left(P_{i}\right)=p_{i}$ when $p_{i}$ is odd for $i=1, \ldots, n$;
(e) $\left[\Phi\left(P_{i+1}\right), P_{i}\right]=1$ and $\left[P_{i+1}, P_{i}\right]=P_{i+1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$;
(f) $\left(\Pi_{j<i} \hat{P}_{j}\right) F H$ acts irreducibly on $P_{i} / \Phi\left(P_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$;
(g) the action of $F^{\prime}$ on $P_{1}$ is Frobenius.

We observe that $C_{P_{1}}(H) \neq 1$ by Corollary 3.4 in [4] applied to the action of $F H$ on $P_{1} / \Phi\left(P_{1}\right)$. So it is clear that the sequence $C_{\hat{P}_{1}}(H),\left[\hat{P}_{2}, C_{\hat{P}_{1}}(H)\right], \hat{P}_{3}, \ldots, \hat{P}_{n}$ forms also an $F^{\prime} H$-tower. It also follows by the hypothesis that the action of $F^{\prime}$ on $C_{P_{1}}(H)$ is also Frobenius.

Let now $\langle y\rangle$ be a maximal subgroup of $F^{\prime}$ of prime power order. As $\left[C_{\hat{P}_{1}}(H), x\right] \neq 1$ for any $x \in F^{\prime}$ of prime order, by Theorem 3.3 in [1] we observe that the sequence $C_{\left[\hat{P}_{2}, C_{\hat{P}_{1}}(H)\right]}(y), C_{\hat{P}_{3}}(y), \ldots, C_{\hat{P}_{n}}(y)$ forms an $F^{\prime} H$-tower. Notice that the group $F /\langle y\rangle$ has derived subgroup of prime order. By Theorem A in [2] applied to the action of the Frobenius-like group $F H /\langle y\rangle$ on $C_{G}(y)$ we get $f\left(C_{G}(y)\right)=f\left(C_{G}(\langle y\rangle H)\right)=$ $n-1$. This forces that $\left.C_{\left[\hat{P}_{2}, C_{\hat{P}_{1}}\right.}(H)\right][H), C_{\hat{P}_{3}}(H), \ldots, C_{\hat{P}_{n}}(H)$ forms a tower and hence $f\left(C_{G}(H)\right) \geqslant n-1$. Notice that $\left[C_{\hat{P}_{2}}(H), C_{\hat{P}_{1}}(H)\right]=\left[C_{\hat{P}_{2}}(H), C_{\hat{P}_{1}}(H), C_{\hat{P}_{1}}(H)\right] \leqslant$ $\left[C_{\left[\hat{P}_{2}, C_{\hat{P}_{1}}(H)\right]}(H), C_{\hat{P}_{1}}(H)\right]$ and $\left[C_{\left[\hat{P}_{2}, C_{\hat{P}_{1}}(H)\right]}(H), C_{\hat{P}_{1}}(H)\right] \leqslant\left[C_{\hat{P}_{2}}(H), C_{\hat{P}_{1}}(H)\right]$. That is $\left.\left[C_{\left[\hat{P}_{2}, C_{\hat{P}_{1}}\right.}(H)\right], C_{\hat{P}_{1}}(H)\right]=\left[C_{\hat{P}_{2}}(H), C_{\hat{P}_{1}}(H)\right]$. As a consequence we see that $\left[C_{\hat{P}_{2}}(H), C_{\hat{P}_{1}}(H)\right], C_{\hat{P}_{3}}(H), \ldots, C_{\hat{P}_{n}}(H)$ is a tower.

On the other hand, as $\left[\hat{P}_{1}, x\right] \stackrel{P_{1}}{=}$ for any $x \in F^{\prime}$, Proposition applied to the action of $P_{1} F H$ on $V=P_{2} / \Phi\left(P_{2}\right)$ yields that

$$
\operatorname{Ker}\left(C_{P_{1}}(H) \text { on } C_{V}(H)\right)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(C_{P_{1}}(H) \text { on } V\right)=1 .
$$

This forces that $C_{\hat{P}_{1}}(H),\left[C_{\hat{P}_{2}}(H), C_{\hat{P}_{1}}(H)\right], C_{\hat{P}_{3}}(H), \ldots, C_{\hat{P}_{n}}(H)$ forms a tower and hence $f\left(C_{G}(H)\right)=f(G)=n$ as desired.
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