

Differential Geometry Video 1

Note Title

1.02.2020

"Elementary Differential Geometry"
by Barrett O'Neill

§1.1. Euclidean Space:

Definition: Euclidean 3-space \mathbb{R}^3

is the set of all ordered triples of real numbers. Such a triple

$p = (p_1, p_2, p_3)$ is called a point of \mathbb{R}^3 .

Summation and scalar multiplication are defined as follows:

$$p + q = (p_1, p_2, p_3) + (q_1, q_2, q_3) \\ = (p_1 + q_1, p_2 + q_2, p_3 + q_3) \quad \text{and}$$

$$a p = a(p_1, p_2, p_3) = (a p_1, a p_2, a p_3).$$

Definition: The natural coordinate functions x, y, z on \mathbb{R}^3

are defined as follows:

$$x: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad x(p_1, p_2, p_3) = p_1,$$

$$y: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, y(p_1, p_2, p_3) = p_2 \quad \text{and}$$

$$z: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, z(p_1, p_2, p_3) = p_3$$

Recall that partial derivatives of a function $f: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are defined as follows:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(p) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(p_1+h, p_2, p_3) - f(p_1, p_2, p_3)}{h}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(p) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(p_1, p_2+h, p_3) - f(p_1, p_2, p_3)}{h}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(p) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(p_1, p_2, p_3+h) - f(p_1, p_2, p_3)}{h}$$

provided that these limits exist.

Note that in case they exist partial derivatives are also functions on \mathbb{R}^3 : for example,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Similarly, we may define higher partial derivatives, if they exist.

For example,

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) \quad \text{and}$$


$$\frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial x^2 \partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right) \right).$$

Definition: A function $f: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ will be called differentiable if all partial derivatives of all orders exist.

§1.2. Tangent Vectors:

Definition: A tangent vector v_p of \mathbb{R}^3 consists of two points of \mathbb{R}^3 , its vector part v and its point of application p .

Ex $v_p = (2, 3, 2)_{(1, 1, 3)}$, $v = (2, 3, 2)$



v_p is called a tangent vector

to \mathbb{R}^3 at the point p . The set of all tangent vectors to \mathbb{R}^3 at a given point p is denoted as $T_p\mathbb{R}^3$. $T_p\mathbb{R}^3$ is a vector space:

$$v_p + u_p = (v+u)_p, \quad \alpha v_p = (\alpha v)_p.$$

Remark: We cannot add v_p and u_q if $p \neq q$!

Definition: A vector field V on \mathbb{R}^3 is a function that assigns to each point p of \mathbb{R}^3 a tangent vector $V(p)$ to \mathbb{R}^3 at p .

Example: Let U_1, U_2 and U_3 be the vector fields defined as

$$U_1(p) = (1, 0, 0), \quad U_2(p) = (0, 1, 0) \text{ and}$$

$$U_3(p) = (0, 0, 1), \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{R}^3. \text{ The}$$

collection U_1, U_2, U_3 is called the natural frame field on \mathbb{R}^3 .

Remark: If $V(p) = (v_1(p), v_2(p), v_3(p))$ is a vector field on \mathbb{R}^3 then we can write $V(p)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} V(p) &= v_1(p)(1, 0, 0) + v_2(p)(0, 1, 0) + v_3(p)(0, 0, 1) \\ &= v_1(p)U_1(p) + v_2(p)U_2(p) + v_3(p)U_3(p). \end{aligned}$$

§1.3. Directional Derivatives:

Definition: Let f be a real valued differentiable function on \mathbb{R}^3 , and let v_p be a tangent vector to \mathbb{R}^3 . Then the number

$$v_p[f] = \frac{d}{dt} (f(p+tv)) \Big|_{t=0}$$

is called the derivative of f with respect to v_p .

Lemma: If f and v_p are as follows, then $v_p[f] = \sum_{i=1}^3 v_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(p)$.

Proof $v_p[f] = \frac{d}{dt} (f(p+tv)) \Big|_{t=0}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow v_p[f] &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(p) \frac{\partial (p_1 + tv_1)}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}(p) \frac{\partial (p_2 + tv_2)}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}(p) \frac{\partial (p_3 + tv_3)}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} \\
&= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(p) v_1 + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}(p) v_2 + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}(p) v_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem Let f and g be differentiable functions on \mathbb{R}^3 , v_p and w_p be tangent vectors and a, b be real numbers. Then

- 1) $(av_p + bw_p)[f] = av_p[f] + bw_p[f]$
- 2) $v_p[af + bg] = av_p[f] + bv_p[g]$
- 3) $v_p[fg] = v_p[f]g(p) + f(p)v_p[g]$.

Corollary If V and W are vector fields and f, g, a, b are as above then

- 1) $(fV + gW)[h] = fV[h] + gW[h]$
- 2) $V[af + bg] = aV[f] + bV[g]$

$$3) V[fg] = V[f]g + fV[g],$$

for any differentiable function h on \mathbb{R}^3 .

§ 1.4. Curves in \mathbb{R}^3 :

Definition: A curve in \mathbb{R}^3 is a differentiable function $\alpha: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ from an open interval I into \mathbb{R}^3 .

Example 1) Straight line.

$\alpha(t) = p + tq$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is the line through a point $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$ in the direction of $q \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

2) Helix. $\alpha(t) = (a \cos t, a \sin t, bt)$ where $a > 0$ and $b \neq 0$.



Video 4

$$3) \alpha: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3, \alpha(t) = (e^t, e^{-t}, \sqrt{2}t)$$

$$4) \alpha: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3, \alpha(t) = (3t - t^3, 3t^2, 3t + t^3).$$

Definition: let $\alpha: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a curve in \mathbb{R}^3 with $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$. For each number t in I , the velocity vector

of α at t is the tangent vector

$$\alpha'(t) = \left(\frac{d\alpha_1}{dt}(t), \frac{d\alpha_2}{dt}(t), \frac{d\alpha_3}{dt}(t) \right)_{\alpha(t)}$$

at the point $\alpha(t)$.

Example: $\alpha(t) = (a \cos t, a \sin t, b)$. Then

$$\alpha'(t) = (-a \sin t, a \cos t, 0).$$

Definition: let $\alpha: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a

curve. If $h: J \rightarrow I$ is a differentiable function on an open interval J ,

then the composite function

$$\beta = \alpha \circ h: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$

is a curve called a reparametrization of α by h .

lemma If $\beta = \alpha \circ h$ is a reparametrization of α , where $h: \bar{J} \rightarrow \bar{I}$, $s \mapsto h(s)$, $s \in \bar{J}$, then

$$\beta'(s) = \left(\frac{dh}{ds}(s) \right) \alpha'(h(s)).$$

lemma: Let α be a curve in \mathbb{R}^3 and f a differentiable function on \mathbb{R}^3 . Then

$$\alpha'(t)[f] = \frac{d(f(\alpha(t)))}{dt} (t).$$

§1.5. 1-Forms:

Definition: A 1-form ϕ on \mathbb{R}^3 is a real valued function on the set of all tangent vectors to \mathbb{R}^3 such that ϕ is linear at each point, that is $\phi(av + bw) = a\phi(v) + b\phi(w)$, for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and tangent vectors v, w

Video 5

at the same point of \mathbb{R}^3 .

Note that for any point $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$ the 1-form defines a linear function $\phi_p: T_p \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that ϕ_p is in the dual space of the vector space $T_p \mathbb{R}^3$.

Moreover, if V is a vector field on \mathbb{R}^3 then $\phi(V): \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, p \mapsto \phi_p(V_p)$, is a function.

Note that if ϕ and ψ are two 1-forms then $a\phi + b\psi$ is also a 1-form:

$$(a\phi + b\psi)(V_p) = a\phi(V_p) + b\psi(V_p).$$

Definition: If f is a differentiable function then the differential

$$df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} dy + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} dz$$

is a 1-form on \mathbb{R}^3 such that

Video 6

$df(v_p) = v_p[f]$ for all tangent vectors v_p .

Example $x_i: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i=1,2,3$, are functions and thus dx_i , $i=1,2,3$, are 1-forms such that

$$dx_i(v_p) = v_p[x_i] = \sum_{j=1}^3 v_j \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_j}(p) = v_j.$$

Lemma: Any 1-form on \mathbb{R}^3 has the form $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^3 f_i dx_i$, where

$f_i = \phi(U_i)$. f_i 's are called the Euclidean coordinate functions of ϕ .

Proof: Clearly any $\phi = \sum f_i dx_i$ is a 1-form.

Conversely, let ϕ be a 1-form. Let $f_i = \phi(U_i)$, $i=1,2,3$. Then for any vector field

$$V = a_1 U_1 + a_2 U_2 + a_3 U_3, \quad a_i: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\phi - \sum_{i=1}^3 f_i dx_i)(V) &= \phi(V) - \sum_{i=1}^3 f_i dx_i(V) \\&= \phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 a_i u_i\right) - \sum_{i=1}^3 f_i dx_i\left(\sum_{j=1}^3 a_j u_j\right) \\&= \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i \phi(u_i) - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 f_i a_j dx_i(u_j) \\&= \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i f_i - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 f_i a_j \delta_{ij} \\&= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for any vector field V we deduce that

$$\phi = \sum_{i=1}^3 f_i dx_i.$$

Corollary If f is a differentiable function on \mathbb{R}^3 , then $df = \sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i$.

Proof By definition df satisfies

$df(v_p) = v_p[f]$ for any vector field. Hence, $df(u_i) = u_i[f] = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$.

$$\text{Hence, } df = \sum_{i=1}^3 df(u_i) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i.$$

Lemma: For differentiable functions

f and g , $d(fg) = g df + f dg$.

Proof $d(fg) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial (fg)}{\partial x_i} dx_i$

$$\Rightarrow d(fg) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(f \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} + g \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right) dx_i$$

$$= f \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} dx_i + g \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i$$

$$= f dg + g df. \quad =$$

Lemma Let $f: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be

differentiable functions, then

$$d(h(f)) = h'(f) df.$$

Proof, $d(h(f)) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial (h(f))}{\partial x_i} dx_i$


$$\Rightarrow d(h(f)) = \sum_{i=1}^3 h'(f) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i$$

$$= h'(f) \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i$$

$$= h'(f) df. \quad \equiv$$

§1.6. Differential Forms:

Consider the parallelogram formed by two vectors $v=(a,b)$ and $u=(c,d)$:



$$\text{Area} = u \times v = ad - bc = \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix}.$$

Note that this can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Area} &= ad - bc = dx(v) dy(u) - dy(v) dx(u) \\ &= (dx \otimes dy - dy \otimes dx)(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

Notation: $dx \wedge dy = dx \otimes dy - dy \otimes dx.$

Proposition: 1) $dx \wedge dy = -dy \wedge dx$

2) $dx \wedge dx = 0$

3) If $\phi = a_1 dx + b_1 dy + c_1 dz$

and $\psi = a_2 dx + b_2 dy + c_2 dz$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \wedge \psi &= (a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1) dx \wedge dy \\ &\quad + (a_1 c_2 - a_2 c_1) dx \wedge dz \end{aligned}$$

$$+(b_1 c_2 - b_2 c_1) dy \wedge dz$$

$$4) \phi \wedge \psi = -\psi \wedge \phi.$$

Ex $\phi = x dx - y dy, \psi = z dx + x dz$

$$\phi \wedge \psi = (x dx - y dy) \wedge (z dx + x dz)$$

$$= xz dx \wedge dx + x^2 dx \wedge dz$$

$$- yz dy \wedge dx - xy dy \wedge dz$$

$$= x^2 dx \wedge dz + yz dx \wedge dy - xy dy \wedge dz$$

Definition (Exterior Derivative)

If $\phi = \sum f_i dx_i$ is a 1-form on \mathbb{R}^3 , the exterior derivative of ϕ

is defined to be the 2-form

$$d\phi = \sum_{i=1}^3 df_i \wedge dx_i.$$

Remark 0-form: function f

df is a 1-form.

If ϕ is a 1-form then $d\phi$ is a 2-form.

Video P

Example: let $\phi = f_1 dx_1 + f_2 dx_2 + f_3 dx_3$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } d\phi &= \left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} \right) dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \\ &+ \left(\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_3} \right) dx_1 \wedge dx_3 \\ &+ \left(\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_3} \right) dx_2 \wedge dx_3. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem let f, g be functions,
 ϕ, ψ 1-forms and a, b real
numbers. Then

- 1) $d(a\phi + b\psi) = a d\phi + b d\psi$
- 2) $d(fg) = df g + f dg$
- 3) $d(f\phi) = df \wedge \phi + f d\phi$
- 4) $d(\phi \wedge \psi) = d\phi \wedge \psi - \phi \wedge d\psi$.

§1.7. Mappings

Definition: Given a function $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, let f_1, \dots, f_m denote the real valued functions on \mathbb{R}^n such that $F(p) = (f_1(p), \dots, f_m(p))$, for all $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$. f_i 's are called the (Euclidean) coordinate functions of F , and we write $F = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$.

Definition: If $\alpha: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a curve in \mathbb{R}^n and $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a mapping, then the composition $\beta = F \circ \alpha: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a curve in \mathbb{R}^m called the image of α under F .

Definition: Let $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a mapping. If v is a tangent vector to \mathbb{R}^n at p , let $F_x(v)$ be the initial velocity of the vector of the curve $t \mapsto F(p+tv)$.

Video 9

$F_x(v) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} (F(p+tv)) \right|_{t=0}$. The map $F_x: T_p \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow T_q \mathbb{R}^m$, $q = F(p)$, is called the tangent map of F at p .

Proposition: Let $F = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ be a mapping from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m . If v is a tangent vector to \mathbb{R}^n at p , then $F_x(v) = (v[f_1], \dots, v[f_m])$ at $F(p)$.

Proof: $\beta(t) = F(p+tv)$
 $= (f_1(p+tv), \dots, f_m(p+tv))$.

By definition $F_x(v) = \beta'(0)$ and $\left. \frac{d}{dt} (f_i(p+tv)) \right|_{t=0} = v[f_i]$. Thus,

$$F_x(v) = (v[f_1], \dots, v[f_m])_{\beta(0)}.$$

Corollary If $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a mapping then at each $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the tangent map $F_{*p}: T_p \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow T_{F(p)} \mathbb{R}^m$ is a linear transformation.

Corollary Let $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a mapping.

If $\beta = F(\alpha)$ is the image of a curve α in \mathbb{R}^n , then $\beta' = F_* (\alpha')$.

Proof Let $F = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$. Then

$$\beta = F(\alpha) = (f_1(\alpha), f_2(\alpha), \dots, f_m(\alpha)).$$

Thus $F_* (\alpha') = (\alpha' [f_1], \alpha' [f_2], \dots, \alpha' [f_m])$.

Finally, since $\alpha' [f_i] = \frac{df_i(\alpha)}{dt}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_* (\alpha'(t)) &= \left(\frac{df_1(\alpha)}{dt}(t), \frac{df_2(\alpha)}{dt}(t), \dots, \frac{df_m(\alpha)}{dt}(t) \right) \\ &= \beta'(t). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{\bar{u}_j\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{\bar{u}_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be the natural fields of \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^m , respectively. Then

Corollary If $F = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$, then

$$F_* (\bar{u}_j(p)) = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} (p) \bar{u}_i(F(p)).$$

Proof: For any vector v_p we have

Video 10

$$\begin{aligned} F_x(v_j) &= (v_j[f_1], \dots, v_j[f_m]) \text{ and} \\ \text{thus } F_x(u_j(p)) &= (u_j(p)[f_1], \dots, u_j(p)[f_m]) \\ \Rightarrow F_x(u_j(p)) &= \left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_j}(p), \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_j}(p), \dots, \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_j}(p) \right)_{F(p)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left(0, \dots, \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(p), \dots, 0 \right)_{F(p)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(p) \bar{u}_i|_{F(p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark: Recall that the matrix $\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(p) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$ is called the Jacobian matrix of F at p .

Definition: A mapping $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is called regular if the tangent map $F_{x,p}$ is one-to-one at all points $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Recall that the followings are equivalent:

1) $F_{x,p}$ is one-to-one,

2) $F_x(v_p) = 0$ implies $v_p = 0$,

3) The Jacobian matrix of F at p has rank n , the dimension of the domain of \mathbb{R}^n of F .

Theorem: (Inverse Function Theorem)

Let $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a mapping. If $F_x p$

is one-to-one at a point $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

then there is an open subset U

containing p so that F is a diffeomorphism

from U onto an open

subset $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.

CHAPTER 2: Frame Field

§ 2.1. Dot Product: For points

$p = (p_1, p_2, p_3)$ and $q = (q_1, q_2, q_3)$ in \mathbb{R}^3

the dot product $p \cdot q$ of p and q is defined by the formula

$$p \cdot q = p_1 q_1 + p_2 q_2 + p_3 q_3.$$

Note that the dot product is

• symmetric: $p \cdot q = q \cdot p$

• bilinear: $(ap + bq) \cdot r = a(p \cdot r) + b(q \cdot r)$

• positive definite: $p \cdot p \geq 0$ and

$p \cdot p = 0$ if and only if $p = 0$.

Defn Norm of a vector is defined

as $\|p\| = (p \cdot p)^{1/2}$.

Defn: If p and q are points in \mathbb{R}^3 ,

the Euclidean distance from p to q

$$\text{is } d(p, q) = \|p - q\|.$$

Remark: We also know that

for vectors $v_p, u_p \in T_p \mathbb{R}^3$

$v_p \cdot u_p = \|v_p\| \|u_p\| \cos \Theta$, where Θ is the angle between $v_p, u_p \in T_p \mathbb{R}^3$.

So $v_p \cdot u_p = 0$ if and only if $\Theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

In this case, we say that v_p and u_p are orthogonal.

Definition: A set e_1, e_2, e_3 of three mutually orthogonal unit vectors tangent to \mathbb{R}^3 at p is called a frame at the point p .

So in this case, $e_i \cdot e_j = \delta_{ij}$ for all $i, j = 1, 2, 3$.

Proposition. If e_1, e_2, e_3 is a frame at $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $v_p \in T_p \mathbb{R}^3$, then

$$v = (v \cdot e_1) e_1 + (v \cdot e_2) e_2 + (v \cdot e_3) e_3$$

Definition: If $e_1 = (a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{13})$,
 $e_2 = (a_{21}, a_{22}, a_{23})$ and $e_3 = (a_{31}, a_{32}, a_{33})$
 is a frame at a point $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$, then
 the matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}$ is called
 the attitude matrix of the
 frame.

Definition: For tangent vectors
 $v_p = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ and $u_p = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ their
 cross product $v_p \times u_p$ is defined to
 be the vector

$$\underline{v} \times \underline{u} = \begin{vmatrix} u_1(p) & u_2(p) & u_3(p) \\ v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \\ u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Note that $v \times u = -u \times v$.

Lemma: $\|u \times v\|^2 = \|u\|^2 \|v\|^2 - (u \cdot v)^2$

Proof: Direct computation gives
 the result. \Rightarrow

Now why $(u \cdot v)^2 = \|u\|^2 \|v\|^2 \cos^2 \theta$

gives, $\|u \times v\|^2 = \|u\|^2 \|v\|^2 \sin^2 \theta$.

§2.2. Curves: Let $\alpha: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a curve, with $\alpha(t) = (\alpha_1(t), \alpha_2(t), \alpha_3(t))$ for some functions $\alpha_i: \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Then the velocity vector of $\alpha(t)$ at t is defined to be the vector $\alpha'(t) = (\alpha_1'(t), \alpha_2'(t), \alpha_3'(t))$ and its speed at t is defined to be the norm of the velocity vector $\|\alpha'(t)\|$.

The arc length of $\alpha(t)$ from $t=a$ to $t=b$ is defined to be the integral $\int_a^b \|\alpha'(t)\| dt$.

A curve $\alpha(t)$ is called unit speed if $\|\alpha'(t)\| = 1$ for all t . Note that for a unit speed

Video 12

curve $\alpha(t)$ the arc length
$$\int_a^b \|\alpha'(t)\| dt = \int_a^b 1 \cdot dt = b-a.$$

In this case, we say that $\alpha(t)$
is arc-length parametrization.

Theorem: If α is a regular curve
in \mathbb{R}^3 , then there exists a reparamet-
rization β of α so that β has unit
speed.

Proof: If $\alpha: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, let $s(t) = \int_a^t \|\alpha'(u)\| du$

so $\frac{ds}{dt} = \|\alpha'(t)\| > 0$ since α is

regular. Now by the Inverse Function

Theorem $s = s(t)$ has inverse $t = t(s)$.

Now $\beta(s) = \alpha(t(s))$ is the required

curve: $\beta'(s) = \alpha'(t(s)) \frac{dt}{ds}$ and thus

$$\|\beta'(s)\| = \|\alpha'(t(s))\| \left| \frac{dt}{ds} \right|$$

$$= \frac{ds}{dt} \left| \frac{dt}{ds} \right| = \frac{ds}{dt} \frac{dt}{ds} = 1$$

because both $\frac{ds}{dt}$ and $\frac{dt}{ds}$ are positive.

Example $\alpha(t) = (a \cos t, a \sin t, bt)$.

Then $\alpha'(t) = (-a \sin t, a \cos t, b)$ and


$$\text{thus } s(t) = \int_{t_0=0}^t \|\alpha'(t)\| dt = t \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$$

$$t_0 = 0$$

Hence, $t(s) = \frac{s}{c}$, $c = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$.

$$\text{So, } \beta(s) = \alpha(t(s)) = \left(a \cos \frac{s}{c}, a \sin \frac{s}{c}, \frac{bs}{c} \right)$$

Definition: A vector field γ on the curve $\alpha: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is a function that assigns to each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ a tangent vector $\gamma(t)$ to \mathbb{R}^3 at $\alpha(t)$.



$$\begin{aligned}
 Y(t) &= (y_1(t), y_2(t), y_3(t))_{\alpha(t)} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^3 y_i(t) u_i(\alpha(t)).
 \end{aligned}$$

The derivative of a vector field is defined as

$$Y'(t) = (y_1'(t), y_2'(t), y_3'(t))$$

Lemma: 1) A curve α is constant if and only if its velocity vector is zero.

2) A non constant curve α is a straight line if and only if its acceleration is zero $\alpha'' = 0$

3) A vector field Y on a curve is parallel if and only if its derivative is zero, $Y' = 0$.

§2.3. The Frenet Formulae

Let $\beta: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a unit speed curve. Let $T = \beta'$ be the unit tangent vector field on β . Then

$\|T(s)\|^2 = 1$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{ds}(1) = \frac{d}{ds} \|T(s)\|^2 \\ &= \frac{d}{ds} (T(s) \cdot T(s)) \\ &= T'(s) \cdot T(s) + T(s) \cdot T'(s) \\ &= 2T'(s) \cdot T(s) \end{aligned}$$

and thus $T'(s) \perp T(s)$, for all $s \in I$. Thus $T'(s)$ is orthogonal to β . The real valued function

$K(s) = \|T'(s)\|$ is called the

curvature of β . Note that it

measures the rate at which

the tangent vector bends at s ,

because the length of $T(s)$ is

constant.

The vector field N on β given by $N(s) = T'(s)/\kappa(s)$ is a unit vector field, called the principal normal vector field of β .

Finally, $B = T \times N$ on β is called the binormal vector field of β .

Lemma: Let β be a unit-speed curve in \mathbb{R}^3 with $\kappa > 0$. Then the vector fields T , N and B on β are unit vector fields that are mutually orthogonal at each point. We call T, N, B the Frenet frame field on β .

Summary

$T' = \kappa N$, $N = T'/\kappa$, $B = T \times N$ so that $T \cdot T = N \cdot N = B \cdot B = 1$ and all other dot products are zero.

Since T, N, B is a frame any vector field on \mathcal{B} is a linear combination of T, N and B . Hence, T', N' and B' can be written as a linear combination of T, N, B .

Indeed, we know already that

$$T'(s) = \kappa N = 0 \cdot T + \kappa N + 0 \cdot B.$$

What about $B'(s)$?

Again $\|B(s)\| = 1$ and thus

$$0 = \frac{d}{ds} \langle B(s), B(s) \rangle = 2B(s) \cdot B'(s).$$

So $B'(s) \perp B(s)$. Also since

$B = T \times N$ we have $B \cdot T = 0$ and

Video 14

$$\text{tho } \frac{d}{ds} (B \cdot T) = 0 \Rightarrow B' \cdot T + B \cdot T' = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow B' \cdot T = -B \cdot T' = -B \cdot \kappa N = 0$$

Since $B \perp N$.

Now since $B' \perp B$ and $B' \perp T$

we see that $B' \parallel N$. Hence,

there is a smooth function $\tau(s)$

so that $B' = -\tau N$.

Theorem (Frenet formulae)

If $\beta: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is a unit-speed curve with curvature $\kappa > 0$ and torsion τ , then

$$T' = \kappa N, \quad N' = -\kappa T + \tau B \text{ and}$$

$$B' = -\tau N.$$

Proof: We just need to prove

the statement $N' = -\kappa T + \tau B$.

Note that we can write N' as

$$N' = (N' \cdot T)T + (N' \cdot N)N + (N' \cdot B)B.$$

$N' \cdot T = ?$ Since $N \cdot T = 0 \forall s$, we

get $N' \cdot T + N \cdot T' = 0$ and thus

$$N' \cdot T = -N \cdot T' = -N \cdot \kappa N = -\kappa.$$

Also, $N \cdot B = 0 \forall s$ and thus

$$N' \cdot B + N \cdot B' = 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$N' \cdot B = -N \cdot B' = -N \cdot (-\tau N) = \tau.$$

Finally, $N \cdot N = 1$ implies $N \cdot N' = 0$.

Thus, $N' = -\kappa T + \tau B$.

Remark: One is tempted to write

$$\begin{bmatrix} T' \\ N' \\ B' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \kappa & 0 \\ -\kappa & 0 & \tau \\ 0 & -\tau & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T \\ N \\ B \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: $B(s) = (a \cos \frac{s}{c}, a \sin \frac{s}{c}, \frac{bs}{c})$,

where $c = (a^2 + b^2)^{1/2}$, $a > 0$. Then

$$T(s) = B'(s) = \left(-\frac{a}{c} \sin \frac{s}{c}, \frac{a}{c} \cos \frac{s}{c}, \frac{b}{c} \right).$$

$$\text{Hence, } T'(s) = \left(-\frac{a}{c^2} \cos \frac{s}{c}, -\frac{a}{c^2} \sin \frac{s}{c}, 0 \right)$$

Video 15

and thus $\kappa(s) = a/c^2$.

$$\text{Also, } \mathcal{N}(s) = \frac{T'(s)}{\kappa(s)} = \left(-\cos \frac{s}{c}, -\sin \frac{s}{c}, 0\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and thus } \mathcal{B}(s) &= T(s) \times \mathcal{N}(s) \\ &= \left(\frac{b}{c} \sin \frac{s}{c}, -\frac{b}{c} \cos \frac{s}{c}, \frac{a}{c}\right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } \mathcal{B}'(s) = \left(\frac{b}{c^2} \cos \frac{s}{c}, \frac{b}{c^2} \sin \frac{s}{c}, 0\right).$$

Now since, $\mathcal{B}' = -\tau \mathcal{N}$ we get


$$\tau(s) = \frac{b}{c^2}.$$

Definition: A curve in \mathbb{R}^3 that lies in a single plane of \mathbb{R}^3 is called a plane curve.

Proposition: Let β be a unit-speed curve in \mathbb{R}^3 with $\kappa > 0$. Then β is a plane curve if and only if $\tau = 0$.

Proof: Suppose β is a plane curve, say lies in the plane \mathcal{P} . If \mathcal{P} is

a point in Γ and q is a normal vector to Γ then the product $(\beta(s) - p) \cdot q = 0$ for all $s \in \mathcal{R}$.



Taking derivative we obtain

$$\beta'(s) \cdot q = 0 \text{ and } \beta''(s) \cdot q = 0 \quad \forall s.$$

Thus q is orthogonal to $T = \beta'$ and $N = \beta''/k$. Hence, $q \parallel B$.

Now $\|B\| = 1$ implies that

$$B = \pm \frac{q}{\|q\|}. \text{ Thus } B' = 0 \text{ and hence, } \tau = 0.$$

Conversely, suppose $\tau = 0$. Thus

$B' = 0$ and hence B is a constant (unit) vector, say $B(s) = q, \forall s$.

Now consider the real valued

function $f(s) = (\beta(s) - \beta(0)) \cdot B$, for all s .

$\frac{df}{ds} = \beta' \cdot B = T \cdot B = 0$. However, note that $f(0) = 0$ and thus

$f(s) = 0 \forall s$. So $(\beta(s) - \beta(0)) \cdot B = 0$

for all $s \in \mathcal{I}$. Thus, $\beta(s)$ lies in the plane Γ containing the point $\beta(0)$ and perpendicular to the point B . This finishes the proof. \square

Lemma: If β is a unit-speed curve with constant curvature $\kappa > 0$ and torsion zero, then β is a part of a circle of radius $1/\kappa$.

Proof: $T = 0$ implies that β is a plane curve.

Consider the curve $\gamma = \beta + (1/\kappa)N$

Video 16

Then by the assumption and the Frenet formulas, we obtain

$$\gamma' = \beta' + \frac{1}{\kappa} N' = T + \frac{1}{\kappa} (-\kappa T) = 0.$$

So the function $\beta(s)$ is constant,

say $\beta(s) + \frac{1}{\kappa} N(s) = c$, for some c .

Now the distance from $\beta(s)$ to c

$$\text{is } d(c, \beta(s)) = \|c - \beta(s)\| = \left\| \frac{1}{\kappa} N(s) \right\| = \frac{1}{\kappa}$$

for all $s \in \mathcal{I}$. Hence, $\beta(s)$ is

on the circle with center c

and radius $1/\kappa$. \blacksquare

Proposition: If a curve $\beta(s)$ lies on a sphere of radius $a > 0$ then we have $\kappa \geq 1/a$.

Proof: Suppose $\beta(s)$ lies on a sphere of radius a . Then shifting the origin we may assume that

$\beta(s) \cdot \beta(s) = a^2$, for all s . Thus

$2\beta'(s) \cdot \beta(s) = 0$ and hence $\beta(s) \cdot \overline{T} = 0$.

Differentiating one more time we

get $\beta'(s) \cdot \overline{T} + \beta(s) \cdot \overline{T}' = 0$ and

hence, $\overline{T} \cdot \overline{T} + k \beta(s) \cdot N = 0$, which

implies $k \beta \cdot N = -1$.

Now by Schwarz inequality,

$|\beta \cdot N| \leq \|\beta\| \|N\| = a$. Since $k \geq 0$

we see that $k = |k| = \frac{1}{|\beta \cdot N|} \geq \frac{1}{a}$.

§2.4. Arbitrary-Speed Curves

Let $\alpha = \alpha(t)$ be any smooth curve, not necessarily unit speed.

Let $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{\alpha}(s)$ be the unit speed reparametrization of α . So,

$\alpha(t) = \bar{\alpha}(s(t))$. If $\bar{K}, \bar{\tau}, \bar{T}, \bar{N}$ and \bar{B} are defined for $\bar{\alpha}$ then we define for α the ($s = s(t)$)


curvature function: $K(t) = \bar{K}(s(t))$

torsion function: $\tau = \bar{\tau}(s)$

unit tangent vector field: $T = \bar{T}(s)$

Principal normal vector field: $N = \bar{N}(s)$

Binormal vector field: $B = \bar{B}(s)$.



lemma: Let α be a regular curve in \mathbb{R}^3 with $\kappa > 0$ and speed function

$v = v(t)$. Then, we have

$$T' = \kappa v N$$

$$N' = \kappa v T + \tau v B$$

$$B' = -\tau v N.$$

Proof: Assume the above setup.

Since $T(t) = \overline{T}(s(t))$. Then

$$T'(t) = \overline{T}'(s) \frac{ds}{dt} = \overline{T}' v. \text{ By the}$$

usual Frenet formulae we

have $\overline{T}'(s) = \kappa(s) \overline{N}(s) = \kappa N$. So

$T' = \overline{T}' v = \kappa v N$. The rest can

be done in a similar fashion. \blacksquare

lemma: If α is a regular curve with speed function v , then the velocity and acceleration of α are given by $\alpha' = vT$, $\alpha'' = v'T + \kappa v^2 N$.

Video 17

Proof: $\alpha(t) = \bar{\alpha}(s(t))$.

$$\alpha'(t) = \bar{\alpha}'(s) \frac{ds}{dt} = v \bar{T}(s) = v T.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So, } \alpha''(t) &= \frac{dv}{dt} T + v T' \\ &= \frac{dv}{dt} T + \kappa v^2 N, \text{ by the} \\ &\text{above lemma. } \bullet \end{aligned}$$

Theorem: let α be a regular curve. Then

$$T = \alpha' / \|\alpha'\|$$

$$N = B \times T, \quad \kappa = \|\alpha' \times \alpha''\| / \|\alpha'\|^3$$

$$B = \alpha' \times \alpha'' / \|\alpha' \times \alpha''\|$$

$$\tau = \alpha' \times \alpha'' \cdot \alpha''' / \|\alpha' \times \alpha''\|^2$$

Proof (clearly, $T = \alpha' / \|\alpha'\|$). Now

by previous lemma

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha' \times \alpha'' &= (v T) \times \left(\frac{dv}{dt} T + \kappa v^2 N \right) \\ &= v \frac{dv}{dt} \cancel{T \times T} + \kappa v^3 \overbrace{T \times N}^B \\ &= \kappa v^3 B. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Also, } \|\alpha' \times \alpha''\| = \|\kappa v^3 B\| = \kappa v^3$$

$$\text{So, } B = \frac{\alpha' \times \alpha''}{\kappa v^3} = \frac{\alpha' \times \alpha''}{\|\alpha' \times \alpha''\|} \text{ qed}$$

$$K = \|\alpha' \times \alpha''\| / v^3 = \|\alpha' \times \alpha''\| / \|\alpha'\|^3$$

For the statement about the torsion we need to compute $(\alpha' \times \alpha'') \cdot \alpha'''$.

Since, $\alpha' \times \alpha'' = K v^3 B$ it is

enough to compute the B

component of α''' . Now

$$\alpha''' = \left(\frac{dv}{dt} T + K v^2 N \right)' = K v^2 v' + \dots$$

$$= K v^3 \tau B + \dots$$

Hence, $(\alpha' \times \alpha'') \cdot \alpha''' = K^2 v^6 \tau$ so that

$$\tau = \frac{(\alpha' \times \alpha'') \cdot \alpha'''}{(K v^3)^2} = \frac{(\alpha' \times \alpha'') \cdot \alpha'''}{\|\alpha' \times \alpha''\|^2}$$

Example 1: $\alpha(t) = (3t - t^3, 3t^2, 3t + t^3)$.

$$\alpha'(t) = 3(1 - t^2, 2t, 1 + t^2)$$

$$\alpha''(t) = 6(-t, 1, t), \alpha'''(t) = 6(-1, 0, 1)$$

So $\alpha'(t) \cdot \alpha'(t) = 18(1 + 2t^2 + t^4)$ and

$$v(t) = (\alpha'(t) \cdot \alpha'(t))^{1/2} = \sqrt{18} (1 + t^2)$$

Also using the definition of cross product

$$\alpha'(t) \times \alpha''(t) = \begin{vmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ 1+t^2 & 2t & 1+t^2 \\ -t & 1 & t \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= 18(-1+t^2, -2t, 1+t^2)$$

Hence, $\|\alpha'(t) \times \alpha''(t)\| = 18\sqrt{2}(1+t^2)$.

Now, $(\alpha' \times \alpha'') \cdot \alpha''' = 6 \cdot 18 \cdot 2$

So, $T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(1+t^2)} (1-t^2, 2t, 1+t^2)$

$N = \frac{1}{1+t^2} (-2t, 1-t^2, 0)$

$B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(1+t^2)} (-1+t^2, -2t, 1+t^2)$

$\kappa = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(1+t^2)^2}$

An Application of Frenet Formulas

The spherical image of a unit speed curve β is defined by

$\sigma(s) \doteq T(s) = \beta'(s)$. Thus $\|\sigma(s)\| = 1$

so that $\sigma(s)$ lies on the unit sphere Σ .

Example: For example for the

Video 1 P

unit sphere helix

$$B(s) = \left(\frac{a}{c} \cos \frac{s}{c}, \frac{a}{c} \sin \frac{s}{c}, \frac{b}{c} s \right),$$

$c = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ the curve $\sigma(s)$ is given

$$\text{by } \sigma(s) = \left(-\frac{a}{c} \sin \frac{s}{c}, \frac{a}{c} \cos \frac{s}{c}, \frac{b}{c} s \right).$$

It follows that the image of σ lies in the intersection of Σ with the plane $z = b/c$.

The curve $\sigma(s)$ is not unit speed

and indeed $\sigma' = T' = \kappa N$ so that

its speed is the curvature κ of β .

Now the Frenet formulas (for general curves)

$$\sigma' = (\kappa N)' = \frac{d\kappa}{ds} N + \kappa N'$$

$$= -\kappa^2 T + \frac{d\kappa}{ds} N + \kappa \tau B, \text{ we get}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma' \times \sigma'' &= -\kappa^3 N \times T + \kappa^2 \tau N \times B \\ &= \kappa^2 (\kappa B + \tau T). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the curvature of σ is

$$\kappa_{\sigma} = \frac{\|\sigma' \times \sigma''\|}{\|\sigma'\|^3} = \frac{\kappa^2 \|\kappa B + \tau T\|}{\kappa^3}$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{\kappa^2 + \tau^2}}{\kappa} = \left(1 + \left(\frac{\tau}{\kappa}\right)^2\right)^{1/2} \geq 1.$$

In particular, κ_{σ} depends on the ratio τ/κ .

Definition: A regular curve α in \mathbb{R}^3


is a cylindrical helix provided the unit tangent T of α has constant angle ν with some fixed unit vector u ; that is $T(t) \cdot u = \cos \nu$, for all t .

Since the angle is independent of the length of $T(t)$ we may assume that the curve is unit speed, say $\beta = \beta(s)$.

So we assume $T \cdot u = \cos \nu$.

Consider the real valued function $h(s) = (\beta(s) - \beta(0)) \cdot u$. Then

$\frac{dh}{ds} = \beta' \cdot u = \overline{T} \cdot u = \cos \nu$, so that β is rising at a constant rate relative to the arc length and $h(s) = s \cos \nu$. Hence, we get $h(t) = s(t) \cos \nu$.



Theorem: A regular curve α with $\kappa > 0$ is a cylindrical helix if and only if the ratio τ/κ is constant.

Proof: We may assume that α has unit speed. We have seen above that if α is a cylindrical helix with $\overline{T} \cdot u = \cos \nu$, then

Video 19

$0 = (T \cdot u)' = T' \cdot u = \kappa N \cdot u$. Since $\kappa > 0$, we see that $N \cdot u = 0$. Thus for each s , u lies in the plane determined by $T(s)$ and $B(s)$. So we may write u as $u = \cos \gamma T + \sin \gamma B$.

Now take the derivative of this expression and Apply Frenet Formulas to obtain $0 = (\kappa \cos \gamma - \tau \sin \gamma) N$.

$$\text{So, } \tau \sin \gamma = \kappa \cos \gamma \Rightarrow \cot \gamma = \tau / \kappa.$$

Conversely, assume that τ / κ is constant. Choose γ so that $\cot \gamma = \tau / \kappa$.

Let $u = \cos \gamma T + \sin \gamma B$. Then

$$u' = (\kappa \cos \gamma - \tau \sin \gamma) N = 0. \text{ Let}$$

$u = \frac{U}{\|u\|}$, then $T \cdot u = \cos \gamma$, so

that α is a cylindrical helix. \Rightarrow

Video 22

Summary: For a regular curve in \mathbb{R}^3 we have

$\kappa = 0 \iff$ straight line
 $\tau = 0 \iff$ plane curve
 $\kappa > 0$ constant and $\tau = 0 \iff$ circle

$\kappa > 0, \tau > 0$ both constant \iff circular helix

τ/κ constant and $\neq 0 \iff$ cylindrical helix.

Fundamental Theorem of Curves


Let $\beta_1: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\beta_2: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be two unit speed regular curves having the same curvature $\kappa(s)$ and torsion $\tau(s)$ functions. Then there is a rigid motion φ of \mathbb{R}^3 so that $\beta_2(s) = \varphi(\beta_1(s))$, for all $s \in I$.

Proof: Pick any $s_0 \in I$ and consider

Video 23-24

the Frenet frames of β_1 and β_2 at s_0 , say $\{T_1(s_0), N_1(s_0), B_1(s_0)\}$ at $\beta_1(s_0)$ and $\{T_2(s_0), N_2(s_0), B_2(s_0)\}$ at

$\beta_2(s_0)$:



First choose an orthogonal transformation C so that $C(T_1(s_0)) = T_2(s_0)$, $C(N_1(s_0)) = N_2(s_0)$ and $C(B_1(s_0)) = B_2(s_0)$.

Now let T be the translation along the vector $B_2(s_0) - C(B_1(s_0))$. Let $F = T \circ C$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F(\beta_1(s_0)) &= T(C(\beta_1(s_0))) \\ &= C(\beta_1(s_0)) + (B_2(s_0) - C(B_1(s_0))) \\ &= \beta_2(s_0) \end{aligned}$$

We know that F , which is an orientation preserving isometry, preserves both the curvature and the torsion functions $\kappa(s)$ and $\tau(s)$.

Also note that since $F = T \circ C$, $F_* = T_* \circ C_* = C_*$ because $T_* = \text{id}$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} F_*(B_1(s_0)) &= B_2(s_0) \text{ and } F_*(T_1(s_0)) = T_2(s_0), \\ F_*(N_1(s_0)) &= N_2(s_0) \text{ and } F_*(B_1(s_0)) = B_2(s_0). \end{aligned}$$

Now we see that, by the Floquet Formulas, both vector valued functions $(T_2(u), N_2(u), B_2(u))$ and $(C T_1(s), C N_1(s), C B_1(s))$ are solutions of the same initial value problem:

$$\begin{bmatrix} T \\ N \\ B \end{bmatrix}' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \kappa & 0 \\ -\kappa & 0 & \tau \\ 0 & -\tau & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} T \\ N \\ B \end{bmatrix}(s_0) = \begin{bmatrix} T_2(s_0) \\ N_2(s_0) \\ B_2(s_0) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now the uniqueness of the solution of the I.V.P. above we see that

$$T_2(u) = C T_1(u), \quad N_2(u) = C N_1(s), \quad B_2(u) = C B_1(u),$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, the first equation implies that

$$B_2'(u) = C B_1'(s). \quad \text{Hence, } B_2(u) = C(B_1(u)) + v$$

for some v . Plugging $s = s_0$ we get

$$v = B_2(s_0) - C B_1(s_0).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence, } B_2(u) &= C(B_1(u)) + (B_2(s_0) - C B_1(s_0)) \\ &= F(B_1, u), \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. \bullet

Remark 1) Two curves α, β are called congruent if $\beta = F(\alpha)$ for some isometry F . In this case, $K_\alpha = K_\beta$ and $\tau_\beta = \text{sgn}(F) \tau_\alpha$.

2) For any two functions $K > 0$

and τ on an interval there is a unit speed curve α having K and τ as its curvature and torsion.

§2.5. Covariant Derivative.

Definition. Let W be a vector field on \mathbb{R}^3 , and let v be a tangent vector to \mathbb{R}^3 at a point p . Then the covariant derivative of W with respect to v is the tangent vector $\nabla_v W = W(p+tv)'(0)$ at the point p .

Example: Let $W(x, y, z) = x^2 u_1 + yz u_3$,

$v = (-1, 0, 2)$ and $p = (2, 1, 0)$. Then

$p+tv = (2-t, 1, 2t)$. Hence,

$$W(p+tv) = W(2-t, 1, 2t)$$

$$= (2-t)^2 u_1 + 2t u_3.$$

$$\text{Thus, } \nabla_v W = \frac{d}{dt} \left[(2-t)^2 u_1 + 2t u_3 \right] \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$= \left[2(2-t) u_1 + 2 u_3 \right] \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$= -4 u_1 + 2 u_3.$$

lemma: If $W = \sum_{i=1}^3 \omega_i U_i$ is a vector field on \mathbb{R}^3 , and v is tangent vector at p , then

$$\nabla_v W = \sum_{i=1}^3 v[\omega_i] U_i(p).$$

Proof $W(p+tv) = \sum \omega_i(p+tv) U_i(p+tv)$
 So, $\nabla_v W = (W(p+tv))'(0)$
 $= \sum_i \frac{d}{dt} (\omega_i(p+tv)) \Big|_{t=0} U_i(p+tv)$
 $= \sum_i v[\omega_i] U_i(p).$ □

Theorem: Let v and w be tangent vectors to \mathbb{R}^3 at p and let Y and Z be vector fields on \mathbb{R}^3 . Then for real numbers a, b and function f , we have

$$1) \nabla_{av+bw} Y = a \nabla_v Y + b \nabla_w Y$$

$$2) \nabla_v (aY + bZ) = a \nabla_v Y + b \nabla_v Z$$

$$3) \nabla_v (fY) = v[f] Y(p) + f(p) \nabla_v Y$$

$$4) v[Y \cdot Z] = \nabla_v Y \cdot Z(p) + Y(p) \cdot \nabla_v Z$$

If V is also a vector field then $\nabla_V W$ is defined to be the vector field given by $(\nabla_V W)(p) = \nabla_{V(p)} W$.

Example let $V = (y-x)u_1 + xy u_3$

and $W = x^2 u_1 + yz u_2$. Then

$$\nabla_V W = V(p)[x^2] u_1 + V(p)[yz] u_2$$

$$= [(y-x)2x + xy \cdot 0] u_1$$

$$+ [(y-x) \cdot 0 + xy \cdot y] u_2$$

$$= 2x(y-x) u_1 + xy^2 u_2$$

Video 32

An immediate consequence of the above theorem is as below:

Corollary Let V, W, Y and Z be vector fields on \mathbb{R}^3 . Then

$$1) \nabla_{fV+gW} Y = f \nabla_V Y + g \nabla_W Y,$$

for all functions f and g .

$$2) \nabla_V (aY + bZ) = a \nabla_V Y + b \nabla_V Z$$

$$3) \nabla_V (fY) = V[f]Y + f \nabla_V Y, \text{ for all functions } f.$$

$$4) V[Y \cdot Z] = \nabla_V Y \cdot Z + Y \cdot \nabla_V Z.$$

§ 26. Frame Fields

Recall that three vector fields $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ and \mathcal{F}_3 on \mathbb{R}^3 are said to constitute a frame field if $\mathcal{F}_i \cdot \mathcal{F}_j = \delta_{ij}$ for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

Example: 1) Let r, ν, z be the cylindrical coordinates on \mathbb{R}^3 . Then

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = \cos \nu \, u_1 + \sin \nu \, u_2,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = -\sin \nu \, u_1 + \cos \nu \, u_2, \text{ and}$$

$\mathcal{F}_3 = u_3$ constitute so called the cylindrical frame field on \mathbb{R}^3 .

2) Similarly, if ρ, ν and φ are the spherical coordinates on \mathbb{R}^3 then

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = \cos \varphi (\cos \nu \, u_1 + \sin \nu \, u_2) + \sin \varphi \, u_3$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = -\sin \nu \, u_1 + \cos \nu \, u_2, \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_3 = -\sin \varphi (\cos \nu \, u_1 + \sin \nu \, u_2) + \cos \varphi \, u_3$$

form so called the spherical frame field on \mathbb{R}^3 .

How to obtain:

$$x = \rho \cos \varphi \cos \nu, \quad y = \rho \cos \varphi \sin \nu$$

$$z = \rho \sin \varphi$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} (x, y, z) &= (\cos \varphi \cos \nu, \cos \varphi \sin \nu, \sin \varphi) \\ &= \cos \varphi (\cos \nu u_1 + \sin \nu u_2) + \sin \varphi u_3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} (x, y, z) \right\| = 1 \quad \text{and thus}$$

$$F_1 = \cos \varphi (\cos \nu u_1 + \sin \nu u_2) + \sin \varphi u_3$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} (x, y, z) = (-\rho \sin \varphi \cos \nu, -\rho \sin \varphi \sin \nu, \rho \cos \varphi)$$

$$F_3 = \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} (x, y, z)}{\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} (x, y, z) \right\|}$$

$$= -\sin \varphi (\cos \nu u_1 + \sin \nu u_2) + \cos \varphi u_3.$$

$$\text{Finally, } \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} (x, y, z) = (-\rho \cos \varphi \sin \nu, \rho \cos \varphi \cos \nu, 0)$$

and thus

$$F_2 = \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} (x, y, z)}{\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} (x, y, z) \right\|} = -\sin \nu u_1 + \cos \nu u_2.$$

Lemma: Let $\mathbf{E}_1, \mathbf{E}_2$ and \mathbf{E}_3 be a frame field on \mathbb{R}^3 . Then

1) If V is a vector field on \mathbb{R}^3 , then

$V = \sum f_i \mathbf{E}_i$, where $f_i = V \cdot \mathbf{E}_i$ are called the coordinate functions of

V with respect to $\mathbf{E}_1, \mathbf{E}_2$ and \mathbf{E}_3 .

2) If $V = \sum f_i \mathbf{E}_i$ and $W = \sum g_i \mathbf{E}_i$, then

$V \cdot W = \sum f_i g_i$ and in particular,

$$\|V\| = \left(\sum_i f_i^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

CHAPTER 3. Euclidean Geometry

§3.1. Isometries of \mathbb{R}^3 :

Definition: An isometry of \mathbb{R}^3 is a mapping $F: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $d(F(p), F(q)) = d(p, q)$, for all $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Example 1) Translations: Let $a \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and define $T: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ as

$$T(p) = a + p \text{ for all } p \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

2) Rotation about a coordinate axis.

For example ν radian counter-clockwise rotation about the z -axis is given

$$\begin{aligned} C(p) &= C(p_1, p_2, p_3) \\ &= (p_1 \cos \nu - p_2 \sin \nu, p_1 \sin \nu + p_2 \cos \nu, p_3). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma: If F and G are isometries of \mathbb{R}^3 , then so is $F \circ G$.

Lemma: 1) If S and T are translations then $S \circ T = T \circ S$ is also a translation.

2) If T is a translation by the vector a then T^{-1} is the translation by the vector $-a$.

3) Given two points $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^3$ there is a unique translation T st.

$$T(p) = q.$$

Lemma If $C: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is a linear orthogonal transformation then C is an isometry of \mathbb{R}^3 .

Lemma If $F: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is an isometry with $F(0) = 0$ then F is an orthogonal transformation.

Proof: Since $F(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(p)\| &= d(0, F(p)) \\ &= d(F(0), F(p)) \\ &= d(0, p) \\ &= \|p\|, \text{ so that } F \text{ preserves} \end{aligned}$$

the norm. Hence, we see that

$$\|F(p) - F(q)\| = \|F(p - q)\| = \|p - q\|$$

for all $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^3$. So

$$(F(p) - F(q)) \cdot (F(p) - F(q)) = (p - q) \cdot (p - q)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \|F(p)\|^2 + \|F(q)\|^2 - 2F(p) \cdot F(q) \\ = \|p\|^2 + \|q\|^2 - 2p \cdot q, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$F(p) \cdot F(q) = p \cdot q. \text{ In other words,}$$

F preserves the inner product.

Thus, to finish the proof we just need to show that F is linear.

Let $u_1 = (1, 0, 0)$, $u_2 = (0, 1, 0)$ and $u_3 = (0, 0, 1)$.

Since $u_j \cdot u_j = \delta_{ij}$, we see that

$$F(u_j) \cdot F(u_j) = u_j \cdot u_j = \delta_{ij}. \text{ So}$$

$\{F(u_1), F(u_2), F(u_3)\}$ is also an orthonormal frame.

Let $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Then $p = (p \cdot u_1)u_1 + (p \cdot u_2)u_2 + (p \cdot u_3)u_3$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also, } F(p) &= (F(p) \cdot F(u_1)) F(u_1) \\ &\quad + (F(p) \cdot F(u_2)) F(u_2) \\ &\quad + (F(p) \cdot F(u_3)) F(u_3). \end{aligned}$$

However, $F(p) \cdot F(u_i) = p \cdot u_i$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} F(p) &= (p \cdot u_1) F(u_1) + (p \cdot u_2) F(u_2) \\ &\quad + (p \cdot u_3) F(u_3). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Finally, } F(p+q) &= ((p+q) \cdot u_1) F(u_1) \\ &\quad + ((p+q) \cdot u_2) F(u_2) + ((p+q) \cdot u_3) F(u_3) \\ &= \underline{(p \cdot u_1 + q \cdot u_1)} F(u_1) + \underline{(p \cdot u_2 + q \cdot u_2)} \\ &\quad F(u_2) + \underline{(p \cdot u_3 + q \cdot u_3)} F(u_3) \\ &= F(p) + F(q). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for any real number λ

$$\begin{aligned} F(\lambda p) &= (\lambda p \cdot u_1) F(u_1) + (\lambda p \cdot u_2) F(u_2) \\ &\quad + (\lambda p \cdot u_3) F(u_3) \end{aligned}$$

$= \lambda F(p)$, so that F is linear. This finishes the proof. \square

Theorem If F is an isometry of \mathbb{R}^3 , then there is a unique translation T and a unique orthogonal transformation so that $F = T \circ C$.

Proof let $F(0) = a$ and let T be the translation by the vector a . Then $T^{-1} \circ F$ is an isometry such that $(T^{-1} \circ F)(0) = T^{-1}(a) = 0$. So $T^{-1} \circ F$ must be an orthogonal transformation, say C .

Hence $T^{-1} \circ F = C$ and thus $F = T \circ C$.

For uniqueness part, let $T \circ C = \bar{T} \circ \bar{C}$ for some other translation \bar{T} and orthogonal transformation \bar{C} . Then

$\overline{T}^{-1} \circ T = \overline{C} \circ C^{-1}$. We know that $\overline{T}^{-1} \circ T$ is a translation by the vector $\overline{T}^{-1} \circ T(0) = \overline{C} \circ C^{-1}(0)$

$$= \overline{C}(0) \\ = 0, \text{ because}$$

both C and \overline{C} are linear.

So $\overline{T}^{-1} \circ T$ is the translation by the zero vector. In other words $\overline{T}^{-1} \circ T = \text{Id}$, the identity.

Finally, $\overline{C} \circ C^{-1} \circ \overline{T}^{-1} \circ T = \text{Id}$

and thus $\overline{C} = C$. This finishes the proof. \square

Video 21

§ 3.2. The Tangent Map of an Isometry

Theorem: Let F be an isometry of \mathbb{R}^3 with orthogonal part C , then

$$F_* (v_p) = C(v)_{F(p)}.$$

Proof Let $F = TC$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F_* (v_p) &= T_* (C_*(v_p)) \\ &= T_* (C(v)_p) \\ &= C(v)_p \end{aligned}$$

Second Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} F_* (v_p) &= \frac{d}{dt} F(p+tv) \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} (TC(p+tv)) \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} (C(p+tv) + a) \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} (C(p) + tC(v) + a) \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= C(v)_{F(p)} \end{aligned}$$

Corollary Isometries preserve dot products of tangent vectors. That is, if v_p and w_p are tangent vectors

to \mathbb{R}^3 at p , and F is an isometry
then $F_x(v_p) \cdot F_x(w_p) = v_p \cdot w_p$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof } F_x(v_p) \cdot F_x(w_p) &= C(v)_{F(p)} \cdot C(w)_{F(p)} \\ &= C(v) \cdot C(w) \\ &= v \cdot w \\ &= v_p \cdot w_p. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem: If e_1, e_2, e_3 is a frame
at a point $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and f_1, f_2, f_3
is another frame at a point q ,
then there is a unique isometry
 F of \mathbb{R}^3 so that $F(p) = q$ and
 $F_x(e_i) = f_i, i = 1, 2, 3$.

§3.3. Orientation.

A frame e_1, e_2, e_3 at a point is called positively oriented if

$e_1 \times e_2 = e_3$ (Note that for any frame $e_1 \times e_2 = \pm e_3$).

Remark Frenet Frames (T, N, B)

are always positively oriented, because $B = T \times N$.

Definition: For an isometry F of \mathbb{R}^3

$\text{sgn}(F)$ is defined to be the

$\text{sgn } \text{sgn } F = \det C$, where

$F = TC$.

Lemma: If e_1, e_2, e_3 is a frame

at some point of \mathbb{R}^3 and F

is an isometry then

$$F(e_1) \cdot (F(e_2) \times F(e_3)) =$$

$$(\text{sgn } F) e_1 \cdot (e_2 \times e_3).$$

Definition: An isometry is said to be orientation-preserving if $\text{sgn } F = \det C = 1$ and orientation-reversing if $\text{sgn } F = \det C = -1$, where C is the orthogonal part of F .

Examples: 1) All translations are orientation-preserving, because if T is a translation then $T_x = \text{Id}$ and $\det(T_x) = \det(\text{Id}) = 1$.

2) Rotations are orientation-preserving:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

3) Reflectors are orientation-reversing.

Lemma: Let e_1, e_2, e_3 be a frame at point p of \mathbb{R}^3 . If $v = \sum v_i e_i$ and $w = \sum w_i e_i$, then

$$v \times w = \epsilon \begin{vmatrix} e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \\ v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \\ w_1 & w_2 & w_3 \end{vmatrix}, \text{ where}$$

$$\epsilon = e_1 \cdot (e_2 \times e_3).$$

Proof: Note that the followings are equivalent:

$$\text{i) } \epsilon = 1, \text{ ii) } e_1 \times e_2 = e_3,$$

$$\text{iii) } e_2 \times e_3 = e_1, \text{ iv) } e_3 \times e_1 = e_2.$$

Also, $e_1 \cdot (e_2 \times e_3) = (v_2 w_3 - v_3 w_2)$.
This finishes the proof. ■

Theorem: If F is an isometry then for any two tangent vectors v and w at a point of \mathbb{R}^3 we have

$$F_x(v \times w) = (\text{sgn} F) F_x(v) \times F_x(w).$$

Proof: Let $v = \sum v_i U_i(p)$,

$$w = \sum w_i U_i(p) \text{ and } e_i = F_x(U_i(p)).$$

Then $F_x(v) = \sum v_i e_i$ and

$$F_x(w) = \sum w_i e_i.$$

So by a straight computation we see that

$$F_x(v) \times F_x(w) = \epsilon F_x(v \times w), \text{ where}$$

$$\epsilon = e_1 \cdot (e_2 \times e_3) = F_x(U_1(p)) \cdot$$

$$(F_x(U_2(p)) \times F_x(U_3(p))).$$

However, U_1, U_2 and U_3 are positively oriented and thus

by the above lemma $\epsilon = \text{sgn} F_x$.

§3.4. Euclidean Geometry

Theorem: Let β be a unit speed curve with $\kappa > 0$, and let $\bar{\beta} = F(\beta)$

be the image of β under an isometry F of \mathbb{R}^3 . Then

$$\bar{\kappa} = \kappa, \quad \bar{T} = F_{\#}(T)$$

$$\bar{L} = (\text{sgn } F) L, \quad \bar{N} = F_{\#}(N)$$

$$\bar{B} = (\text{sgn } F) F_{\#}(B), \quad \text{where } \text{sgn } F = \pm 1$$

is the sgn of F .

Proof: $\|\bar{\beta}'\| = \|F_{\#}(\beta')\| = \|\beta'\| = 1$

because F is an isometry.

Clearly, $\bar{T} = \bar{\beta}' = F_{\#}(\beta') = F_{\#}(T)$.

Also $\bar{\kappa} = \|\bar{\beta}''\| = \|F_{\#}(\beta'')\| = \|\beta''\| = \kappa$,

and $\bar{N} = \frac{\bar{\beta}''}{\bar{\kappa}} = \frac{F_{\#}(\beta'')}{\kappa} = F_{\#}\left(\frac{\beta''}{\kappa}\right) = F_{\#}(N)$.

Moreover,

$$\bar{B} = \bar{T} \times \bar{N} = F_{\#}(T) \times F_{\#}(N) = (\text{sgn } F)$$

$$F_{\#}(T \times N)$$

$$= \text{sgn}(F) F_x(B).$$

Finally, using $T = -B' \cdot N = B \cdot N'$,

$$\overline{T} = \overline{B \cdot N} = (\text{sgn}(F) F_x(B)) \cdot F_x(N')$$

$$= (\text{sgn}(F) B \cdot N')$$

$$= (\text{sgn}(F) T) =$$

CHAPTER 4: Calculus on a Surface

§4.1. Surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 :

A surface in \mathbb{R}^3 is a subset of \mathbb{R}^3 that looks like \mathbb{R}^2 locally. More specifically we have


Definition: A coordinate patch

$x: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is a one to one regular mapping of an open set D of \mathbb{R}^2 into \mathbb{R}^3 .

As usual regularity means that the derivative map $x_*: T_p D \rightarrow T_{x(p)} \mathbb{R}^3$ is one to one at each point $p \in D$.

We'll also ask that the coordinate patches to be proper maps. What we mean by a proper map in this case is that $x^{-1}: x(D) \rightarrow D$ is also a continuous map. So this

is different than the being a proper map we have studied in Math 349. In particular, $x: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is a homeomorphism onto its image $x(D)$.



Definition: A surface in \mathbb{R}^3 is a subset M of \mathbb{R}^3 such that for each point p of M there exists a proper patch in M whose image contains a neighborhood of p in M .

Example: The unit sphere Σ in \mathbb{R}^3 ,
 $\Sigma = \{ p = (p_1, p_2, p_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2 = 1 \}$.

Let $p = (p_1, p_2, p_3) \in \Sigma$ be a point.

Since, $p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2 = 1$ at least one

$p_3 \neq 0$. First assume that $p_3 > 0$.

Then consider the function


$x: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, given by

$x(u, v) = (u, v, \sqrt{1-u^2-v^2})$, where

$D = \{ (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid u^2 + v^2 < 1 \}$ is the

unit open disc in \mathbb{R}^2 . Note

that $p \in X(D)$ since $p_3 > 0$.



Note that the image $x(D)$ is the northern hemisphere.

Let's check that x is a proper (surface) patch.

1) x is one to one. To see this note that x^{-1} exists. Let $\Sigma^+ = \{ (x, y, z) \in \Sigma \mid z > 0 \}$ the

Northern hemisphere and then

$$x^{-1}: \Sigma^+ \rightarrow D, \quad x^{-1}(x, y, z) = (x, y)$$

is just the inverse of x :

$$\begin{aligned} (x \circ x^{-1})(x, y, z) &= x(x, y) = (x, y, \sqrt{1-x^2-y^2}) \\ &= (x, y, z) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } (x^{-1} \circ x)(u, v) &= x^{-1}(u, v, \sqrt{1-u^2-v^2}) \\ &= (u, v). \end{aligned}$$

2) x is proper. Clearly, x^{-1} is continuous since $x(x, y, z) = (x, y)$.

3) x is regular. To see this we compute its Jacobian matrix

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial v} \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial v} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $f(u, v) = \sqrt{1-u^2-v^2}$

Clearly, the Jacobian matrix

has rank 2 and thus the derivative map $x_x: T_p D \rightarrow T_{x(p)} \mathbb{R}^3$ is onto.

Hence, x is a proper surface patch at points $p = (p_1, p_2, p_3)$ with $p_3 > 0$. Clearly, for other points, say for $p = (-1, 0, 0)$ we may use the surface patch given by $x: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, $x(u, v) = (-\sqrt{1-u^2-v^2}, u, v)$.

Hence, it follows that the unit sphere Σ is a surface in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Surface patches of the form $(u, v) \mapsto (u, v, f(u, v))$ for some function f are called Monge patches.

Video 25

Example, let $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function and let $\Sigma = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid z = f(x, y)\}$. In this case, $x: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, $x(u, v) = (u, v, f(u, v))$ is a surface patch covering all of Σ .

Showing that x is a surface patch is similar to the above example. In particular, Σ is a surface in \mathbb{R}^3 .

The following theorem provides many examples of surfaces.

Theorem: Let $g: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be a real number. Set

$$M = g^{-1}(c) = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid g(x, y, z) = c\}.$$

Assume further that the

Video 26

Differential of g is not zero at any point p of M . Then M is a surface.

Proof: This is a simple application of the Implicit Function Theorem. Let $p \in M$ be a point. By the assumption

$$0 \neq dg(p) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(p) dx + \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(p) dy + \frac{\partial g}{\partial z}(p) dz$$

so that one of the partial derivatives of g is not zero.

Without loss of generality

let $\frac{\partial g}{\partial z}(p) \neq 0$. Let $p = (p_1, p_2, p_3)$.

Then there is a differentiable function $h: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, where D is an open set containing (p_1, p_2) , satisfying
1) for each $(u, v) \in D$,

$g(u, v, h(u, v)) = c$ so that

$(u, v, h(u, v)) \in M$ and

2) Points of the form $(u, v, h(u, v))$, with $(u, v) \in D$ "fills a neighborhood of p in M . In particular, the map $(u, v) \mapsto (u, v, h(u, v))$ is a Monge patch for M .

Hence, M is a surface in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Back to the unit sphere example:

Let $g: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$,

and $c = 1$. Then

$M = g^{-1}(c) = \Sigma = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1\}$,

is a surface since

$dg(p) = 2p_1 dx + 2p_2 dy + 2p_3 dz \neq 0$

for all $p \in \Sigma$, since $p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2 = 1$.


Example: Surfaces of revolution.

Let C be a curve in the xy -plane

If $(q_1, q_2, 0) \in C$, then rotation
this point about the x -axis

we obtain the curve

$$(q_1, q_2 \cos \nu, q_2 \sin \nu), \quad \nu \in [0, 2\pi].$$



Let M be the surface obtained
by revolving C about the x -axis.


Let C be given by $y = f(x)$,

$f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, $I = (a, b)$. Then



$x: I \times [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be given by

$$x(t, \nu) = (t, f(t) \cos \nu, f(t) \sin \nu)$$


is a proper surface patch.



Other examples of surfaces:



g : genus of the surface



Möbius Band


§ Patch Computations:

Let $x: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a coordinate patch and $(u_0, v_0) \in D$. Then the functions $u \mapsto x(u, v_0)$ and $v \mapsto x(u_0, v)$ define two curves passing through the point $x(u_0, v_0)$.

Definition: The velocity vectors of the above parametric curves are

denoted by $\frac{d}{du}(x(u, v_0)) \Big|_{u=u_0} = x_u(u_0, v_0)$

and $\frac{d}{dv}(x(u_0, v)) \Big|_{v=v_0} = x_v(u_0, v_0)$.



In coordinates if

$x(u, v) = (x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v), x_3(u, v))$ then


$x_u = \left(\frac{\partial x_1}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial u} \right)$ and

$$x_\nu = \left(\frac{\partial x_1}{\partial \nu}, \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial \nu}, \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial \nu} \right).$$

Example: let Σ be the sphere centered at origin with radius r . The spherical coordinates defines a coordinate patch

$$x(u, \nu) = (r \cos \nu \cos u, r \cos \nu \sin u, r \sin \nu)$$

where $u \in (-\pi, \pi)$, $\nu \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$.



$$x_u = (-r \cos \nu \sin u, r \cos \nu \cos u, 0)$$

$$x_\nu = (-r \sin \nu \cos u, -r \sin \nu \sin u, r \cos \nu).$$

Definition: A regular mapping $x: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ whose image lies in a surface M is called a parametrization of the

region $x(D)$ of M .

(So, a coordinate patch is a one-to-one proper parametrization.)

Remark: The spherical parametrization defined above is defined on \mathbb{R}^2 , $x: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, is a parametrization of the sphere. Note that x is

not 1-1. Moreover,

$$x_u \times x_v = r^2 \begin{vmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ -\cos v & \cos v & 0 \\ \sin u & \cos u & \\ -\sin v & -\sin v & \cos v \\ \cos u & \sin u & \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= r^2 (\cos^2 v \cos u, \cos^2 v \sin u, \cos v \sin v)$$

and thus

$$\|x_u \times x_v\| = r^2 \cos^2 v \neq 0,$$

provided that $v \notin (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$.



So, x is regular and hence a parametrization.

Example Surface of a revolution.

Let $\alpha(u) = (g(u), h(u), 0)$ be a curve in the xy -plane. Then as we saw earlier a parametrization is given

by $x(u, v) = (g(u), h(u)\cos v, h(u)\sin v)$.

Note that $x_u = (g', h'\cos v, h'\sin v)$ and $x_v = (0, -h\sin v, h\cos v)$.



$$\alpha(u) = (3 + \cos u, \sin u, 0)$$

$$g(u) = 3 + \cos u, \quad h(u) = \sin u.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} x_u \times x_v &= \begin{vmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ g' & h'\cos v & h'\sin v \\ 0 & -h\sin v & h\cos v \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (hh', -g'h\cos v, -g'h\sin v) \end{aligned}$$

Video 2P

and $\|X_u \times X_v\|^2 = h^2 (h'^2 + g'^2)$.

So if $\alpha(u) = (h(u), g(u))$ is regular

($\Rightarrow \alpha'(u) = (h', g') \neq (0, 0)$) and


$\alpha(u)$ does not meet the

y-axis then $\|X_u \times X_v\|^2 > 0$

so that $X(u, v)$ is regular.

So it is a parametrization.

Ex The general torus



Its parametrization is given

by $\alpha(u) = (R + r \cos u, r \sin u, 0)$

and

$X(u, v) = (R + r \cos u) \cos v,$

$(R + r \cos u) \sin v, r \sin u).$


Since $X(u + 2\pi, v) = X(u, v)$ it is

not a surface patch.

Definition: A ruled surface is a surface swept out by a straight line l moving along a curve β . The various positions of the lines generating the surface are called the rulings of the surface. Such a surface always has a ruled parametrization,

$x(u,v) = \beta(u) + v\delta(u)$, $\beta(u)$ is called the base curve, δ the director curve.

Examples Consider the cone given by $z^2 = x^2 + y^2$ is a ruled surface.



This is a ruled surface.

Example In general $\frac{x^2+y^2}{a^2} - \frac{z^2}{c^2} = 1$ is a ruled surface with surface parametrization

$$X(u,v) = (1-v)(\cos(u-\theta), \sin(u-\theta), -1) + v(\cos(u+\theta), \sin(u+\theta), 1),$$

where $0 < \theta < \pi/2$ is a parameter.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= (1-v)\cos(u-\theta) + v\cos(u+\theta), \\ x_2 &= (1-v)\sin(u-\theta) + v\sin(u+\theta) \\ x_3 &= 2v-1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = (1-v)^2 + v^2 + v(1-v)[2\cos(u-\theta)\cos(u+\theta) + 2\sin(u-\theta)\sin(u+\theta)]$

$$= (1-v)^2 + v^2 + 2v(1-v)\cos 2\theta$$


$$\begin{aligned} x_3 = 2v-1 & \left\{ \begin{aligned} &= (1-v)^2 + v^2 + 2v(1-v) + 2v(1-v)(\cos 2\theta - 1) \\ &= 1 + 2v(1-v)(-2\sin^2\theta) \end{aligned} \right. \\ 2v-2v^2 = x_3+1-2\left(\frac{x_3+1}{2}\right)^2 & \left\{ \begin{aligned} &= 1 - 2\sin^2\theta \frac{(1-x^2)}{2} \end{aligned} \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= x_3+1 - \frac{1}{2}(x_3^2+2x_3+1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{x_3^2}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow x_1^2 + x_2^2 &= 1 - (1-x^2)\sin^2\theta \\ &= 1 - \sin^2\theta + x_3^2\sin^2\theta \\ &= \cos^2\theta + x_3^2\sin^2\theta \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{\cos^2\theta} = 1 + \tan^2\theta x_3^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{a^2} - \frac{z^2}{c^2} = 1, \quad \begin{aligned} a &= \cos\theta \\ c &= \cot\theta \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} \beta(u) &= (\cos(u-\theta) + \cos(u+\theta), \sin(u-\theta) + \sin(u+\theta), 0) \\ v=1/2 &= (2\cos u \cos\theta, 2\sin u \cos\theta, 0) = 2\cos\theta(\cos u, \sin u, 0) \end{aligned}$$

§4.3. Differentiable Functions and Tangent Vectors:


lemma: Let $\alpha: I \rightarrow M$ be a (differentiable) curve so that $\alpha(I)$ lies in the image $x(D)$ of a single patch $x: D \rightarrow M$. Then there exist unique differentiable functions a_1, a_2 on I so that $\alpha(t) = x(a_1(t), a_2(t))$, for all $t \in I$.

Proof: Consider the differentiable $x^{-1} \circ \alpha: I \rightarrow D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, where

$$x^{-1} \circ \alpha(t) = (a_1(t), a_2(t)) \text{ for some}$$

functions $a_i: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i=1,2$.

$$\text{So, } \alpha(t) = x(a_1(t), a_2(t)).$$



Uniqueness of a_i 's can be seen as follows: If b_1, b_2 are functions s.t. the

$$\alpha(t) = x(b_1(t), b_2(t)) = x(a_1(t), a_2(t)) \text{ then} \\ (b_1(t), b_2(t)) = x^{-1}(\alpha(t)) = (a_1(t), a_2(t)).$$

To show that a_i 's are differentiable we'll proceed as follows. We define a new function

$$X: D \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ as } X(u, v, s) = x(u, v) + sN(u, v), \text{ where} \\ N(u, v) = x_u(u, v) \times x_v(u, v).$$

So, $X(u, v, s) = (x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v), x_3(u, v)) + s(N_1(u, v), N_2(u, v), N_3(u, v))$.
Note that $X(u, v, 0) = x(u, v)$. Moreover,

$$X_x(u, v, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial v} & N_1(u, v) \\ \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial v} & N_2(u, v) \\ \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial v} & N_3(u, v) \end{pmatrix} \text{ and hence,}$$

$$\det X_x(u, v, 0) = (x_u \times x_v) \cdot N(u, v) \\ = (x_u \times x_v) \cdot (x_u \times x_v) \\ = \|x_u \times x_v\|^2 > 0.$$

Now by the Inverse function theorem X^{-1} exists (locally) and it is differentiable.


Since $X(u, v, 0) = x(u, v)$, $x^{-1}(u, v) = X^{-1}(u, v, 0)$
so that x^{-1} is a differentiable function.

So, $(a_1(t), a_2(t)) = x^{-1}(\alpha(t))$ is differentiable meaning that both $a_1(t)$ and $a_2(t)$ are differentiable functions.

This finishes the proof. •

Theorem: If X and Y are overlapping patches in M , then there exist unique differentiable functions \bar{u} and \bar{v} such that $y(u, v) = x(\bar{u}(u, v), \bar{v}(u, v))$ for all (u, v) in the domain of $x^{-1}y$.


Proof



$(y^{-1} \circ x)(u, v) = (\bar{u}(u, v), \bar{v}(u, v))$, for some functions \bar{u}, \bar{v} on $x^{-1}(U \cap V)$. Clearly, \bar{u}, \bar{v} are differentiable since x and y^{-1} are. \square

Definition: A tangent vector to a surface M at a point $p \in M$ is

the velocity vector $\alpha'(t_0)$ of a curve $\alpha: I \rightarrow M$, where $p = \alpha(t_0)$. The set of all tangent vectors to M at the point p is called the tangent space to M at p , denoted as $T_p M$.



Lemma: Any tangent vector in $T_p M$ can be written as a linear combination of the vectors $X_u(u_0, v_0)$ and $X_v(u_0, v_0)$, $p = X(u_0, v_0)$.

Proof: Let $\alpha: I \rightarrow M$ be a curve with $\alpha(t_0) = p$. Then $\alpha(t) = X(a_1(t), a_2(t))$

for some differentiable functions a_1, a_2 from I to \mathbb{R} . So, the tangent vector

$$\alpha'(t) = \frac{d}{dt} (x(a_1(t), a_2(t)))$$

$$= x_u(a_1(t), a_2(t)) a_1'(t)$$

$$+ x_v(a_1(t), a_2(t)) a_2'(t)$$

and thus

$$\alpha'(t_0) = c_1 x_u(u_0, v_0) + c_2 x_v(u_0, v_0),$$

where $(u_0, v_0) = (a_1(t_0), a_2(t_0))$

and $c_1 = a_1'(t_0)$, $c_2 = a_2'(t_0)$.

This finishes the proof. \blacksquare

Definition: A Euclidean vector field Z on a surface M in \mathbb{R}^3 is a function that assigns to each point p of M a tangent $Z(p)$ to \mathbb{R}^3 at p .

A normal vector z at a point

$p \notin M$ is a vector that is perpendicular to $T_p M$. A normal vector field on M is a Euclidean vector field Z so that $Z(p)$ is normal to $T_p M$ for each $p \in M$.

Lemma: If $M: g=c$ is a surface in \mathbb{R}^3 , then the gradient $\nabla g = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} \mathbf{e}_i$ is a normal vector field on M .

Proof: Let $\alpha: I \rightarrow M$ be any curve. Then for the tangent vector $\alpha'(t_0) \in T_p M$, $p = \alpha(t_0)$, satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \frac{d}{dt}(c) \Big|_{t=t_0} = \frac{d}{dt}(g(\alpha(t))) \Big|_{t=t_0} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(\alpha(t_0)) \alpha'_i(t_0),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha(t) = (\alpha_1(t), \alpha_2(t), \alpha_3(t)).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Hence, } 0 &= \nabla g(p) \cdot (\alpha_1'(t_0), \alpha_2'(t_0), \alpha_3'(t_0)) \\
 &= \nabla g(p) \cdot \alpha'(t_0) \\
 \Rightarrow \nabla g(p) &\perp \alpha'(t_0).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha(t)$ is an arbitrary curve we see that $\nabla g(p) \perp T_p M$.

Example let $\Sigma = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \sum x_i^2 = r^2\}$

the sphere centered at the origin with radius r . So $\Sigma: g = r^2$,

where $g(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$, and

thus $T_p \Sigma$ is the plane in \mathbb{R}^3

perpendicular to $\nabla g(p)$. So if

$p = (p_1, p_2, p_3)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_p \Sigma &= \{(v_1, v_2, v_3) \in T_p \mathbb{R}^3 \mid (v_1, v_2, v_3) \cdot \nabla g(p) = 0\} \\
 &= \{(v_1, v_2, v_3) \in T_p \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \sum_{i=1}^3 v_i p_i = 0\},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\nabla g(p) = (2p_1, 2p_2, 2p_3).$$

Definition: Let v be a tangent vector to M at p , and let f be a differentiable real-valued function on M . The derivative $v[f]$ of f with respect to v is the common value of $(\frac{d}{dt})(f(\alpha))(0)$, for all curves α in M with initial velocity v .

CHAPTER 5: Shape Operators§5.1. The Shape Operator of $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$

Let Z be a Euclidean vector field on a surface M . Let $p \in M$ and $v \in T_p M$. We define the covariant derivative of Z at p along v as $\nabla_v Z = (Z_\alpha)'(0)$, where $\alpha: \mathbb{I} \rightarrow M$ is a curve so that $\alpha(0) = p$ and $\alpha'(0) = v$.

Lemma: Let $Z = \sum z_i U_i$. Then $\nabla_v Z = \sum v[z_i] U_i$.

Proof: $Z_\alpha(t) = Z(\alpha(t))$
 $= \sum z_i(\alpha(t)) U_i$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So, } (Z_\alpha)'(0) &= \sum_i \frac{d}{dt} (z_i(\alpha(t))) \Big|_{t=0} U_i \\ &= \sum_i v[z_i] U_i. \end{aligned}$$

Video 33

This lemma also shows that the quantity $\nabla_V Z = (Z_\alpha)'(0)$ is independent of the curve α and thus it is well-defined.

Definition: A surface $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ is called orientable if there is a continuous function

$$U: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ so that } U(p) \neq 0$$

and $U(p) \perp T_p M$ for all $p \in M$.

Example: Sphere, torus, \mathbb{R}^2 are orientable surfaces. However, the Möbius Band is non-orientable.


Definition: If $p \in M$ is a point, then for each tangent vector

$$S_p(v) = -\nabla_v U, \text{ where } U \text{ is a}$$

unit normal vector field defined in a neighborhood p on M .

S_p is called the Shape operator of M .

Note that $S_p(v)$ measures how fast the normal vector (hence the tangent plane $T_p M$) changes as we move from the point p in the direction v . For example if in the cylinder below in the direction v_1 , the change is zero and in the direction v_2 it is clearly non-zero.



lemmas For each $p \in M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$, the shape operator is a linear operator $S_p: T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$, for all $p \in M$.

Proof: Since U is a unit vector field we have $U(p) \cdot U(p) = 1$ for all $p \in M$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= v[U \cdot U] = 2(\nabla_v U) \cdot U(p) \\ &= -2 S_p(v) \cdot U(p). \end{aligned}$$

Since $U(p) \perp T_p M$ we deduce that $S_p(v) \in T_p M$. So S_p is a map $S_p: T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$. Linearity follows from the computation

$$\begin{aligned} S_p(av + bw) &= -\nabla_{av + bw} U = -(a\nabla_v U + b\nabla_w U) \\ &= a S_p(v) + b S_p(w). \end{aligned}$$

Remark: The map $U: M \rightarrow S^2$, $p \mapsto U(p)$ is called the Gauss map of M . Note that we can identify $T_p M$ with $T_{U(p)} S^2$ because both are planes in \mathbb{R}^3 .

having $U(p)$ as their normal vectors. Hence the derivative of U at p is a linear map

$$U_*(p) : T_p M \rightarrow T_{U(p)} S^2$$

given by

$$U_*(p)(v) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} (U(\alpha(t))) \right|_{t=0}$$

where α is a curve on M with $\alpha(0) = p$ and $\alpha'(0) = v$.

Hence, by definition

$$U_*(p)(v) = \nabla_v U = -S_p(v).$$

Example 4 $\Sigma = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mid \sum x_i^2 = r^2\}$

a sphere of radius r . Then the (outer) unit normal vector

$$U(p) = \frac{1}{r} \sum x_i U_i, \quad p = (x_1, x_2, x_3).$$

$$\text{Then } \nabla_v U = \frac{1}{r} \sum v[x_i] U_i(p)$$

Video 34

$$\Rightarrow \nabla_{\underline{v}} U = \frac{1}{r} \sum v_i U_i(p) = \frac{v}{r}, \text{ where}$$

$$v = (v_1, v_2, v_3). \text{ So } S_{\underline{p}}(v) = -\nabla_{\underline{v}} U = -\frac{v}{r}.$$

Hence, the operator $S_{\underline{p}}(v)$ on

$T_{\underline{p}}\Sigma$ is given by scalar multiplication $-1/r$.

2) Let \mathbb{P} be plane in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then

the unit normal function U

on \mathbb{P} is constant and thus

$$S_{\underline{p}}(v) = -\nabla_{\underline{v}} U = 0.$$


3) Let C be the circular

cylinder in \mathbb{R}^3 given by

$$x^2 + y^2 = 1. \text{ Then if } p = (x, y, z),$$

$$U(p) = U(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{r} (xU_1 + yU_2).$$

Let $e_1 = (0, 0, 1)$ and $e_2 = (-y, x, 0)$.




Clearly,

$$T_{\underline{p}}C = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2\}.$$

The $\nabla_{e_1} U(p) = \nabla_{U_3} \left(x \frac{U_1}{r} + y \frac{U_2}{r} \right) = 0.$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_2} U(p) &= \nabla_{(-y, x, 0)} \left(x \frac{U_1}{r} + y \frac{U_2}{r} \right) \\ &= \frac{-y}{r} \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_1} U_1 + \frac{x}{r} \frac{\partial y}{\partial y_2} U_2 \\ &= \frac{-y}{r} U_1 + \frac{x}{r} U_2 = \frac{e_2}{r} \end{aligned}$$



$P = (x, y, 0)$

Hence, $S_p(e_2) = \frac{-e_2}{r}.$

4) The Saddle surface $M: z = xy.$

$(u, v) \mapsto (u, v, uv)$ is a surface patch and thus $x_u = (1, 0, v)$ and $x_v = (0, 1, u)$ spans $T_p M$, $p = (u, v, uv).$

The normal vector at p is

$$x_u \times x_v = \begin{vmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ 1 & 0 & v \\ 0 & 1 & u \end{vmatrix}$$

$= (-v, -u, 1)$ and thus the

unit normal vector field is

$$U(p) = \frac{(-v, -u, 1)}{\sqrt{1+u^2+v^2}} \quad \text{but } p = (0, 0, 0)$$

$(u=0, v=0)$. Then $x_u = (1, 0, 0) = U_1$

$$x_v = (0, 1, 0) = U_2$$

Exercise: Show that

$$S_2(aU_1 + bU_2) = bU_1 + aU_2.$$

We finish the section with a lemma which will be proved later:

Lemma: For any point $p \in M$ the Shape operator

$S: T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$ is symmetric, that is

$$S(v) \cdot w = S(w) \cdot v$$

for all $v, w \in T_p M$.

Video 35

Solution to the Exercise: $M: z = xy$

$$X: D = \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow M, X(u, v) = (u, v, uv)$$

$$U(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+u^2+v^2}} (-v, -u, 1), \quad X_u = (1, 0, v), X_v = (0, 1, u).$$

$$\nabla_{X_u} U(p) = \nabla_{(1,0,v)} \left(\frac{-v}{\sqrt{1+u^2+v^2}}, \frac{-u}{\sqrt{1+u^2+v^2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+u^2+v^2}} \right)$$

$$\text{OR} = \frac{d}{dt} (U(\alpha(t))) \Big|_{t=0}, \text{ where } \alpha: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$

Is a curve with $\alpha(0) = p = (u, v, uv)$ and $\alpha'(0) = (1, 0, v) = X_u(p)$. So, we may choose $\alpha(t)$ as $\alpha(t) = (u+t, v, (u+t)v)$.

$$\text{Hence, } \nabla_{X_u} U(p) = \frac{d}{dt} U(\alpha(t)) \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{-v}{\sqrt{1+(u+t)^2+v^2}}, \frac{-(u+t)}{\sqrt{1+(u+t)^2+v^2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+(u+t)^2+v^2}} \right) \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla_{X_u} U(p) = \left(-\frac{1}{2}(-v) \cdot \frac{2(u+t)}{(\sqrt{\quad})^3}, \frac{-\sqrt{\quad} + (u+t)(\frac{1}{2})2(u+t)}{(\sqrt{\quad})^2}, \right)$$

$$X_u = u_1$$

$$X_v = u_2 \text{ ok}$$

$$X(0,0) = (0,0,0) = p.$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{2(u+t)}{(\sqrt{\quad})^3} \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$= \left(\frac{uv}{(1+u^2+v^2)^{3/2}}, \frac{-\sqrt{1+u^2+v^2} + u^2}{1+u^2+v^2}, \frac{u}{(1+u^2+v^2)^{3/2}} \right)$$

$$\text{So } \nabla_{X_u} U(p) = (0, -1, 0) = -u_2, \text{ when } p = (0,0,0)$$

$$\text{Similarly, } \nabla_{X_v} U(p) = -u_1, \text{ when } p = (0,0,0).$$

$$\text{So } S_p(a u_1 + b u_2) = -\nabla_{(a u_1 + b u_2)} U(p) = b u_1 + a u_2.$$

§ 5.2. Normal Curvature

Let M be a surface and U is an orientation on M (i.e. a choice of unit normal vector field).

lemma: If α is a curve in $M \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, then $\alpha'' \cdot U = S(\alpha') \cdot \alpha'$.


Proof: Since α is a curve in M

its velocity vector is always

tangent to M , i.e., $\alpha'(t) \in T_{\alpha(t)} M$

for all t . In particular,

$$\alpha'(t) \cdot U(\alpha(t)) = 0, \text{ for all } t.$$




Taking derivative of the above equation with respect to t we obtain

$\dot{L}(t) \cdot U(\alpha(t)) + \alpha'(t) \cdot (U(\alpha(t)))' = 0.$
 Since $S(\alpha'(t)) = -(U(\alpha(t)))'$ we
 obtain

$$S(\alpha'(t)) \cdot \alpha'(t) = \alpha''(t) \cdot U(\alpha(t)).$$

$\alpha''(t) \cdot U$ is the normal component
 of the acceleration vector, $\alpha''(t)$.



Hence, this component is
 determined by the velocity
 vector $\alpha'(t)$ and the shape
 operator S .

Definition: Let u be a unit vector
 tangent to $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ at a point p .

Then the number $k(u) = S(u) \cdot u$ is called the normal curvature of M in the u direction.

Remark. 1) $k(u) = S(u) \cdot u$

$$= (-S(u)) \cdot (-u)$$

$$= S(-u) \cdot (-u)$$

$$= k(-u),$$

because S is a linear operator.

2) Let $u = \alpha'(0)$ for some curve in M . Then

$$k(u) = S(u) \cdot u$$

$$= S(\alpha'(0)) \cdot \alpha'(0)$$

$$= \alpha''(0) \cdot S(\alpha'(0))$$

$$= \kappa(0) \nu(0) \cdot u(p)$$


$$= \kappa(0) \cos \nu, \text{ where } \kappa \text{ is the}$$

curvature of the curve $\alpha(t)$

and $p = \alpha(0)$, and ν is the


Video 36

angle between the principal normal vector N of α and the normal vector $U(p)$ to M at p .



3) Let $u \in T_p M$ be any unit vector and \mathbb{P} be the plane through p containing u and $U(p)$. The intersection of the plane \mathbb{P} with the surface M is a curve on M passing through p . Let σ be a unit speed parametrization of this curve. Since σ lies in the plane \mathbb{P}

also the principal normal N to α must be $\pm U(p)$.



$$\begin{aligned} \text{So, } k(u) &= \sigma''(0) \cdot U(p) \\ &= K(0) N(0) \cdot U(p) \\ &= \pm K(0), \text{ because} \\ N(0) \cdot U(p) &= \pm 1. \end{aligned}$$


Some observations:

1) If $k(u) > 0$, then $N(0) = U(p)$, so the normal section σ is bending toward $U(p)$ at p . In other words, in the direction u the surface M is bending toward $U(p)$.

2) If $k(p) < 0$, then $N(0) = -U(p)$, so the normal section σ is bending away from $U(p)$ at p . Thus in the direction M is bending away from $U(p)$.

3) If $k(p) = 0$, then $k_{\sigma}(0) = 0$ and $N(0)$ is undefined. Hence, the normal section σ is not turning at $\sigma(0) = p$.

Example: $z = xy$



1) $\sigma_1 = M \cap P_1$, $P_1: y = -x$
 $\sigma_1: z = -x^2, y = -x$

So σ_1 is a parabola in the plane $y = -x$. Since the parabola bends downward the normal curvature is negative.

$$\text{ii) } \sigma_2 = M \cap P_2, \quad P_2: y = x$$

$$\sigma_2: z = x^2, \quad y = x$$

This time σ_2 is a parabola in the plane $y = x$ bending upward and thus the normal curvature is positive.

iii) σ_3 and σ_4 are lines given by $(z=0, x=0)$ and $(z=0, y=0)$. Hence, the corresponding normal curvatures in these directions are zero.

Definition: Let P be a point of $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$. The maximum and

minimum values of the normal curvature $k(u)$ of M at p are called the principal curvatures of M at p , and are denoted k_1 and k_2 . The directions in which these extreme values occur are called principal vectors of M at p .

Definition: A point p of M is umbilic provided that the normal curvature $k(u)$ is constant on all unit tangent vectors u at p .

Example: If Σ is a sphere of radius r we have seen that all the normal curvature

are $-1/r$.

Theorem, 1) If p is a umbilic of $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$, then the shape operator S at p is just scalar multiplication by $k = k_1 = k_2$.

2) If p is a nonumbilic point, $k_1 \neq k_2$, then there are exactly two principal directions, and these are orthogonal. Furthermore if e_1 and e_2 are principal vectors in these directions, then $S(e_1) = k_1 e_1$ and $S(e_2) = k_2 e_2$.

Remark: We'll see later that principal curvatures and principal direction are just the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the linear operator S .

Proof: By the last lemma of the previous section the Shape operator S is symmetric.

$$S_p(u) \cdot w = S_p(w) \cdot u,$$

for all $u, w \in T_p M$.

However, any symmetric linear operator is diagonalizable with real eigenvalues. Moreover the eigenvectors are orthogonal.


Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ be the eigenvalues and e_1, e_2 be associated eigenvectors. Hence,

$$S(e_1) = \lambda_1 e_1 \text{ and } S(e_2) = \lambda_2 e_2$$

We may assume that $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is an orthonormal basis.

Then for any unit vector $u \in T_p M$ we have

$$u = ce_1 + se_2, \text{ where} \\ c = \cos \gamma, \quad s = \sin \gamma$$



$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } k(u) &= S(u) \cdot u \\ &= S(ce_1 + se_2) \cdot (ce_1 + se_2) \\ &= (c\lambda_1 e_1 + s\lambda_2 e_2) \cdot (ce_1 + se_2) \\ &= \lambda_1 c^2 + \lambda_2 s^2. \end{aligned}$$

Assume $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$.

If $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ then the linear operator S is just multiplication by the common eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$.

In particular, $k_1 = k_2 = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } k(u) &= k_1 c^2 + k_2 s^2 \\ &= k_1 (c^2 + s^2) \\ &= k_1. \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$ then

$k(u) = \lambda_1 c^2 + \lambda_2 s^2$ takes its maximum value when $c = \pm 1$ and $s = 0$ and takes its minimum value when $c = 0$ and $s = \pm 1$.

In particular, $k_1 = \lambda_1$, $k_2 = \lambda_2$ are the principal curvatures and the principal directions e_1 and e_2 are orthogonal.

Corollary Let k_1, k_2 and e_1, e_2 be the principal curvatures and vectors of $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ at p . Then if $u = \cos \nu e_1 + \sin \nu e_2$, the normal curvature of M in the u direction is

$$k(u) = k_1 \cos^2 \nu + k_2 \sin^2 \nu.$$

§5.3. Gaussian Curvature:

Definition: Let $p \in M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ and $S_p: T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$ be the Shape operator at $p \in M$. The determinant of S_p is called the Gaussian curvature of the surface at p , and the trace of S_p is called the scalar curvature of M at p .

Notation: $K(p) = \det(S_p)$,

$$H(p) = \text{tr}(S_p).$$

Lemma: If k_1 and k_2 are the principal curvatures at $p \in M$ then $K(p) = k_1 k_2$ and $H(p) = (k_1 + k_2)/2$.

Proof If e_1 and e_2 are the principal directions at

$p \in M$ then we know that $B = \{e_1, e_2\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $T_p M$. We know that the matrix representation of S_p in the basis B is

$$[S_p]_B = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 & 0 \\ 0 & k_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So, $K = \det S_p = \det \begin{bmatrix} k_1 & 0 \\ 0 & k_2 \end{bmatrix} = k_1 k_2$ and


$$H = \frac{\text{tr } S_p}{2} = \frac{\text{tr} \begin{bmatrix} k_1 & 0 \\ 0 & k_2 \end{bmatrix}}{2} = \frac{k_1 + k_2}{2}.$$

Remark: Recall that replacing the unit normal field U to M by $-U$ replaces S_p with $-S_p$. Clearly, $\det(-S_p) = \det(S_p)$ and $\text{tr}(-S_p) = -\text{tr}(S_p)$.


Hence, the Gaussian curvature is unaffected but the scalar curvature reverses its sign, if we replace U by $-U$.

Examples) $M: z = k_1 x^2 + k_2 y^2$.

1) $k_1 < 0, k_2 < 0$



$$K = k_1 k_2 > 0$$




$$K = k_1 k_2$$

3) $k_1 = 0, k_2 < 0$

$$y=0, z=0$$

$$x=0, z = k_2 y^2$$



Lemma: If v and w are linearly independent vectors in $T_p M$, $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$, then

$$S(v) \times S(w) = K(p) v \times w$$

and

$$S(v) \times w + v \times S(w) = 2H(p) v \times w.$$

Proof: By assumption $\beta = \{v, w\}$ is a basis for $T_p M$. Let

$$A = [S_p]_{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{bmatrix}. \text{ So}$$

$$S_p(v) = av + bw, \quad S_p(w) = cv + dw.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} S_p(v) \times S_p(w) &= (av + bw) \times (cv + dw) \\ &= (ad - bc) v \times w, \text{ since} \end{aligned}$$

$$v \times v = 0 = w \times w \text{ and}$$

$$v \times w = -w \times v.$$

Similarly, by direct calculation

$$\begin{aligned}
& S(v) \times w + v \times S(w) \\
&= (av + bw) \times w + v \times (cv + dw) \\
&= a(v \times w) + d(v \times w) \\
&= (a+d) v \times w \\
&= 2H(p) v \times w
\end{aligned}$$

Since $k_1, k_2 = K$ and $k_1 + k_2 = 2H$ we deduce that

$$k_1, k_2 = H \pm \sqrt{H^2 - K}.$$

Definition: A surface M in \mathbb{R}^3

is flat if $K(p) = 0$, for all $p \in M$ and is minimal if $H(p) = 0$, for all $p \in M$.

§5.4. Computational Techniques:

$M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ surface

$x: D \rightarrow M$ a surface patch.

We've have defined before
the following quantities

$$E = x_u \cdot x_u, \quad F = x_u \cdot x_v, \quad G = x_v \cdot x_v$$

Remark: 1) $F = x_u \cdot x_v = \|x_u\| \|x_v\| \cos \nu$

$$\Rightarrow F = \sqrt{EG} \cos \nu, \text{ where } \nu \text{ is}$$

the angle between x_u and x_v .

2) Also note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_u \times x_v\|^2 &= \|x_u\|^2 \|x_v\|^2 - (x_u \cdot x_v)^2 \\ &= EG - F^2. \end{aligned}$$

3) If $v = v_1 x_u + v_2 x_v$ and

$w = w_1 x_u + w_2 x_v$, then

$$v \cdot w = (v_1 x_u + v_2 x_v) \cdot (w_1 x_u + w_2 x_v)$$

$$= v_1 w_1 E + v_2 w_2 G$$

$$+ (v_1 w_2 + v_2 w_1) F.$$

The normal vector on M determined by the surface patch is

$$U = x_u \times x_v / \|x_u \times x_v\|.$$

Let $x(u, v) = (x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v), x_3(u, v))$ be the coordinate patch. Then

similar to x_u and x_v we define

$$x_{uu} = \left(\frac{\partial^2 x_1}{\partial u^2}, \frac{\partial^2 x_2}{\partial u^2}, \frac{\partial^2 x_3}{\partial u^2} \right),$$

$$x_{uv} = \left(\frac{\partial^2 x_1}{\partial u \partial v}, \frac{\partial^2 x_2}{\partial u \partial v}, \frac{\partial^2 x_3}{\partial u \partial v} \right) \text{ and}$$

$$x_{vv} = \left(\frac{\partial^2 x_1}{\partial v^2}, \frac{\partial^2 x_2}{\partial v^2}, \frac{\partial^2 x_3}{\partial v^2} \right).$$

Also we define,

$$L = S(x_u) \cdot x_u$$

$$M = S(x_u) \cdot x_v = S(x_v) \cdot x_u$$

$$N = S(x_v) \cdot x_v$$

Corollary If x is a surface patch
in $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$, then

$$K(x) = \frac{LN - M^2}{EG - F^2}, \quad H(x) = \frac{GL + EN - 2FM}{2(EG - F^2)}$$

Proof: In the last lecture
we've seen that for any
two tangent vectors v, w in $T_p M$
we have:

$$(1) \quad S(v) \times S(w) = K(p) v \times w \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2) \quad S(v) \times w + v \times S(w) = 2H(p) v \times w.$$

Now consider the so called
"Lagrange Identity": For any
vectors x, y, v, w in \mathbb{R}^3 we have

$$(x \times y) \cdot (v \times w) = \begin{vmatrix} x \cdot v & x \cdot w \\ y \cdot v & y \cdot w \end{vmatrix}.$$

(Exercise 6 of § 6.3)

So if we take dot product
of the equations (1) and (2)

with the normal vector

$\nu \times \omega$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{vmatrix} S(\nu) \cdot \nu & S(\nu) \cdot \omega \\ S(\omega) \cdot \nu & S(\omega) \cdot \omega \end{vmatrix} = K(p) \begin{vmatrix} \nu \cdot \nu & \nu \cdot \omega \\ \omega \cdot \nu & \omega \cdot \omega \end{vmatrix} \\ \Rightarrow K(p) &= \frac{\begin{vmatrix} S(\nu) \cdot \nu & S(\nu) \cdot \omega \\ S(\omega) \cdot \nu & S(\omega) \cdot \omega \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} \nu \cdot \nu & \nu \cdot \omega \\ \omega \cdot \nu & \omega \cdot \omega \end{vmatrix}} = \frac{L\omega - M^2}{EG - F^2} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$H(p) = \frac{GL + EN - 2FM}{2(EG - F^2)}$$

This finishes the proof. \blacksquare

Remark: Since $U \perp x_u$ we have

$$U \cdot x_u = 0. \text{ So}$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_v} (U \cdot x_u) = U_v \cdot x_u + U \cdot x_{uv}.$$

Since $S_p(\nu) \doteq -\nabla_\nu U = -U_\nu$

we get $S(x_\nu) = -\nabla_{x_\nu} U = -U_\nu$

$$\text{So, } S(x_v) \cdot x_u = -U_v \cdot x_u = -(-U \cdot x_{uv})$$

$$\Rightarrow S(x_v) \cdot x_u = U \cdot x_{uv}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Similarly, } S(x_u) \cdot x_v &= U \cdot x_{vu} \\ &= U \cdot x_{uv} \\ &= S(x_v) \cdot x_u \end{aligned}$$

implying that S is symmetric.

Lemma If x is a patch in $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$, then

$$L = S(x_u) \cdot x_u = U \cdot x_{uu}$$

$$M = S(x_u) \cdot x_v = U \cdot x_{uv}$$

$$N = S(x_v) \cdot x_v = U \cdot x_{vv}$$

Proof The first and the third formulas follow from the first lemma of Section 5.2, that states " α ". $U = S(\alpha') \cdot \alpha'$ ".

The middle one is done just above. $\underline{\quad}$

Example: 1) Helicoid

$$x(u, v) = (u \cos v, u \sin v, bv), \quad b \neq 0$$

$$x_u = (\cos v, \sin v, 0)$$

$$x_v = (-u \sin v, u \cos v, b)$$

$$E = x_u \cdot x_u = 1, \quad F = x_u \cdot x_v = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$G = x_v \cdot x_v = b^2 + u^2.$$

$$x_u \times x_v = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \cos v & \sin v & 0 \\ -u \sin v & u \cos v & b \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= (b \sin v, -b \cos v, u).$$

$$W = \|x_u \times x_v\| = \sqrt{EG - F^2} = \sqrt{b^2 + u^2}$$

$$U = \frac{x_u \times x_v}{\|x_u \times x_v\|} = \frac{(b \sin v, -b \cos v, u)}{\sqrt{b^2 + u^2}}$$

$$x_{uu} = 0, \quad x_{uv} = (-\sin v, \cos v, 0)$$

$$x_{vv} = (-u \cos v, -u \sin v, 0).$$

Hence,

$$L = x_{uu} \cdot U = x_{uu} \cdot \left(\frac{x_u \times x_v}{W} \right) = 0$$


$$M = x_{uv} \cdot U = x_{uv} \cdot \left(\frac{x_u \times x_v}{W} \right) = \frac{-b}{W}$$

$$N = x_{uv} \cdot \left(\frac{x_u \times x_v}{w} \right) = 0.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \frac{LN - M^2}{EG - F^2} = \frac{-(b/w)^2}{w^2} = \frac{-b^2}{w^4} \\ &= \frac{-b^2 w^2}{(b^2 + u^2)^2}, \quad \text{and} \end{aligned}$$

$$H = \frac{GL + EN - 2FM}{2(EG - F^2)} = 0.$$



2) The Saddle Surface:

$$M: z = xy$$

$$x(u, v) = (u, v, uv)$$

$$x_u = (1, 0, v), \quad x_v = (0, 1, u)$$

$$E = x_u \cdot x_u = 1 + v^2, \quad F = x_u \cdot x_v = uv$$

$$G = x_v \cdot x_v = 1 + u^2$$

$$u = \frac{x_u \times x_v}{\|x_u \times x_v\|} = \frac{(-v, -u, 1)}{\sqrt{1 + u^2 + v^2}}$$

$$x_{uu} = 0, \quad x_{uv} = (0, 0, 1), \quad x_{vv} = 0$$

$$L = x_{uu} \cdot \frac{x_u \times x_v}{\|x_u \times x_v\|} = 0$$

$$M = x_{uv} \cdot \frac{x_u \times x_v}{\|x_u \times x_v\|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + u^2 + v^2}} = \frac{1}{u}$$

$$N = x_{vv} \cdot \frac{x_u \times x_v}{\|x_u \times x_v\|} = 0$$

$$K = \frac{LN - M^2}{EG - F^2} = \frac{-1}{(1 + u^2 + v^2)^2} \quad \text{and}$$

$$H = \frac{GL + EN - 2FM}{2(EG - F^2)} = \frac{-uv}{(1 + u^2 + v^2)^{3/2}}$$

§5.5. The Implicit Case:

Let $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ be a surface described by a single equation $g=0$ for some smooth function $g: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, for which $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is a regular value.

In other words, the derivative

map $g_*: T_p\mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow T_0\mathbb{R} \approx \mathbb{R}$ is onto for any $p \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence,


the gradient $\nabla g = \sum \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}$ is never zero on M . Clearly,

if $\alpha: I \rightarrow M$ is a curve on M then $\alpha'(t) \perp \nabla g(\alpha(t))$ for all

$t \in I$, because $g(\alpha(t)) = 0$, for all $t \in I$ and thus (taking derivative)

$$\nabla g(\alpha(t)) \cdot \alpha'(t) = 0.$$

In other words, ∇g is a non vanishing normal-field on M .



Let $Z \doteq \nabla g$ and $U = \frac{Z}{\|Z\|}$ be the unit normal field determined by Z .

Let S be the shape operator on M corresponding to U :

$$S_v(V) = -\nabla_V U, \text{ for } V \in T_p M.$$

Writing $Z = \sum_{i=1}^3 z_i U_i$, we know that

$$\nabla_V Z = \sum_{i=1}^3 v[z_i] U_i, \text{ and}$$

$$\nabla_V U = \nabla_V \left(\frac{Z}{\|Z\|} \right) = \frac{\nabla_V Z}{\|Z\|} + v \left(\frac{1}{\|Z\|} \right) Z.$$

The vector $\nabla \left(\frac{1}{\|z\|} \right) z$ is normal to the surface M and let's denote it by $-N_V$. Thus

$$S(V) = -\nabla_V U = -\frac{\nabla_V z}{\|z\|} + N_V.$$

Remark: 1) If $W \in T_p M$ then N_W is normal to M and thus parallel to N_V . Hence,

$$N_V \times N_W = 0.$$

2) Also if γ is a (tangent) vector field on M then

$\gamma \times N_V$ is clearly perpendicular to N_V and thus tangent to M .

Now we state the following lemma: let Z be a nonvanishing normal field on M . If V and W are tangent vector fields

such that $V \times W = Z$, then

$$K = \frac{Z \cdot (\nabla_V Z \times \nabla_W Z)}{\|Z\|^4} \quad \text{and}$$

$$H = -Z \cdot \frac{\nabla_V Z \times W + V \times \nabla_W Z}{2\|Z\|^3}.$$

Proof: We know from (Lemma 3.4) that

$$(1) \quad S(V) \times S(W) = K(p) V \times W \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2) \quad S(V) \times W + V \times S(W) = 2H(p) V \times W.$$

Now by the above computations

$$S(V) = -\frac{\nabla_V Z}{\|Z\|} + N_V \quad \text{and}$$

$$S(W) = -\frac{\nabla_W Z}{\|Z\|} + N_W.$$

By assumption $V \times W = Z$ and

taking dot product with Z

we get

$$K(p) = \frac{z \cdot (S(v) \times S(w))}{z \cdot z}$$

$$= \frac{z \cdot (\nabla_v z \times \nabla_w z)}{\|z\|^2 \|z\|^2},$$

because

$$S(v) \times S(w) = \frac{\nabla_v z \times \nabla_w z}{\|z\|^2} - \frac{\nabla_v z \times N_w}{\|z\|}$$

$$- \frac{N_v \times \nabla_w z}{\|z\|} + \underbrace{N_v \times N_w}_0$$

so that the second and the third terms are perpendicular to z since N_w and N_v are parallel to z .

$$\text{Hence, } K(p) = \frac{z \cdot (\nabla_v z \times \nabla_w z)}{\|z\|^4}.$$

The second identity follows from (2) in a similar fashion.

Example: let $M: g = \frac{x_1^2}{a_1^2} + \frac{x_2^2}{a_2^2} + \frac{x_3^2}{a_3^2} = 1$
 be an ellipsoid.

$$\text{Now } z = \frac{1}{2} \nabla g = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{x_i}{a_i^2} u_i.$$

let $V = \sum v_i u_i$ be a tangent
 field on M . Then

$$\nabla_V z = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{V[x_i]}{a_i^2} u_i = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{v_i}{a_i^2} u_i,$$

because $V[x_i] = dx_i(V) = v_i$.

$$\text{Now, } z \cdot (\nabla_V z \times \nabla_W z) = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{x_1}{a_1^2} & \frac{x_2}{a_2^2} & \frac{x_3}{a_3^2} \\ \frac{v_1}{a_1^2} & \frac{v_2}{a_2^2} & \frac{v_3}{a_3^2} \\ \frac{w_1}{a_1^2} & \frac{w_2}{a_2^2} & \frac{w_3}{a_3^2} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{a_1^2 a_2^2 a_3^2} X \cdot (V \times W),$$

where $X = \sum x_i u_i$. If V and W
 are chosen so that

$V \times W = z$, then

$$X \cdot (V \times W) = X \cdot z = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{x_i^2}{a_i^2} = 1.$$

Now by the previous lemma

$$K = \frac{1}{a_1^2 a_2^2 a_3^2 \|z\|^4}, \quad \|z\|^4 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{x_i^2}{a_i^4} \right)^2.$$

Finally, note that if $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = r$,

i.e., the ellipsoid is a sphere


$$\text{then } \|z\|^4 = \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{x_i^2}{r^2}}_1 \right)^2 = \frac{1}{r^4}$$

$$\text{and thus } K = \frac{1}{r^6 (1/r^4)} = \frac{1}{r^2}.$$

§5.6. Special Curves in a Surface

Definition: A regular curve α in $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ is a principal curve provided that the velocity α' of α always points in a principal direction.

Remark: Principal curves moves in directions in which the surface bends in extreme values. Through a non umbilic points, reflecting parametrizations, there are exactly two principal curves, which are orthogonal.



orthogonal.

On the other hand, at an umbilic point every direction is principal.

Lemma: Let α be a regular curve in $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$, and let U be a unit

normal field along α . Then

1) The curve α is principal if and only if U' and α' are collinear at each point.

2) If α is a principal curve, then the principal curvature along α is $\alpha'' \cdot U / (\alpha' \cdot \alpha')$.

Proof: Claim: $S(\alpha') = -U'$.

Proof: We know that $S_p(v) = -D_p U(v)$ and thus $S_p(\alpha') = -\frac{d}{dt}(U(\alpha(t))) = -U'(t)$.

Hence, U' and α' are collinear if and only if $S(\alpha')$ and α' are collinear.

But this means that $S(\alpha') = \lambda \alpha'$

and thus α' points in the principal direction, that is α is a principal curve.

2) If α is a principal curve, $\frac{\alpha'}{\|\alpha'\|}$ is always a principal direction.

Hence, if k_i is the principal curvature corresponding to α , then $S(\frac{\alpha'}{\|\alpha'\|}) = k_i \frac{\alpha'}{\|\alpha'\|}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} k_i &= \frac{\alpha'}{\|\alpha'\|} \cdot \frac{\alpha'}{\|\alpha'\|} = S(\frac{\alpha'}{\|\alpha'\|}) \cdot \frac{\alpha'}{\|\alpha'\|} \\ &= \frac{S(\alpha') \cdot \alpha'}{\|\alpha'\|^2} \\ &= \frac{\alpha'' \cdot U}{\alpha' \cdot \alpha'} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma: Let α be a curve cut from a surface $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ by a plane. If the angle between M and \mathbb{P} is constant along α , then α is a principal curve in M .

Proof Let U and V be unit normals to M and P , respectively. Then $V' = 0$ since P is a plane and hence, V is a constant function.

By assumption $U \cdot V = \text{constant}$ and thus $0 = (U \cdot V)'$.

$$\Rightarrow U' \cdot V + U \cdot V' = 0 \Rightarrow U' \cdot V = 0$$

Since U is a unit field U' is orthogonal to U . Hence, U' is orthogonal to both P and U .

α' is also orthogonal to P and U and thus α' and U' are

in the same direction. Hence,

by the principal lemma, α is




principal. Of course, this argument

is valid if we assume U and V are linearly independent. If U

Video 44

and V are linearly dependent then $U = \pm V$. However, since $V' = 0$ we get $U' = 0$ so that α is clearly principal in this case.

Example Let M be a surface of revolution. Then meridians and parallels are principal curves.



To get a meridian take a plane \mathcal{D} containing the axis of rotation and intersect with M . To get a parallel take a plane \mathcal{D} whose normal is the axis of rotation.

Directions tangent to $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ in which the normal curvature is zero are called asymptotic directions. So a tangent vector v is asymptotic if $k(v) = S(v) \cdot v = 0$.

lemma: let $p \in M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ a point.


1) If $k(p) > 0$, then there are no asymptotic directions at p .

2) If $k(p) < 0$, then there are exactly two asymptotic directions at p and they are bisected by the principal direction at angle ν such that

$$\tan^2 \nu = \frac{-k_1(p)}{k_2(p)}.$$


3) If $k(p) = 0$, then every direction is asymptotic if p is a planar point; otherwise there is exactly

one asymptotic direction and it is also principal.



Proof: Recall Euler's formula

$$K(u) = k_1(p) \cos^2 v + k_2(p) \sin^2 v$$



1) If $K(p) > 0$, then $k_1(p) k_2(p) > 0$

and thus $K(u)$ is never zero.

2) If $K(p) < 0$ then $k_1(p) k_2(p) < 0$.

So $K(u) = 0$ when $\tan^2 v = -\frac{k_1}{k_2} (> 0)$.


3) If $K(p) = 0$, then either

both $k_1 = k_2 = 0$ ($\Rightarrow p$ is planar)

so that all directions are asymptotic.

If only $k_2 = 0$ then $K(u) = k_1(p) \cos^2 v$

and thus the asymptotic direction is $u = e_2$.



Definition: A regular curve α in $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ is an asymptotic curve provided its velocity α' always points in an asymptotic direction.

Thus α is asymptotic if and only if $k(\alpha') = S(\alpha') \cdot \alpha' = 0$.

Since $S(\alpha') = -U'$, α is asymptotic if $U' \cdot \alpha' = 0$.

Remark: If α is asymptotic. Since α is tangent to M $\alpha' \cdot U = 0$. So taking derivative


$$0 = (\alpha' \cdot u)' = \underbrace{\alpha' \cdot u'}_0 + \alpha'' \cdot u$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \alpha'' \cdot u = 0.$$

Video 45

An Application to Minimal and Flat Surfaces:

Recall that a surface is minimal if $H(p) = k_1(p) + k_2(p) = 0$ for all point p of the surface. Hence by the previous lemma either $k_i(p) = 0$ for $i=1,2$ or $k_1(p) = -k_2(p) \neq 0$ ($K(p) < 0$) and there are exactly two asymptotic directions, with



In particular, the asymptotic directions a_1 and a_2 are orthogonal either. Thus a surface with $K < 0$ is minimal if and only if through every point there are exactly

two asymptotic curves passes and they are orthogonal.

Recall that for the helicoid we had $K < 0$ and $H = 0$ at all points and thus the helicoid is a minimal surface.

Recall also that the Saddle surface had also that $K < 0$. The lemma below shows that this is always the case for any ruled surface (such as helicoid and Saddle surface).

Lemma: A ruled surface M has Gaussian curvature $K \leq 0$. Furthermore $K = 0$ if and only if the unit normal u is parallel along each ruling of M (so all

the point p on a ruling have the same Euclidean tangent plane $T_p M$.)

Proof: A ruling in a ruled surface is a straight line $t \mapsto p + tq$ is clearly asymptotic because its acceleration is zero and thus tangent to M . Hence, $K(p) \leq 0$ for all $p \in M$ (because $k(u) = 0$ means that $K = k_1 k_2 \leq 0$).

Now let $\alpha(t) = p + tq$ be an arbitrary ruling in M . If U is parallel along α , then $S(\alpha') = -U' = 0 = 0 \cdot \alpha'$. Thus α is a principal curve with principal curvature $K(\alpha') = 0$. Hence,

$$K = k_1 k_2 = 0.$$

Conversely, if $K = 0$ we see

from the previous lemma that the asymptotic directions (and thus curves) are also principal.

Therefore, each ruling is principal ($S(\alpha') = k(\alpha')\alpha'$) as well as asymptotic ($k(\alpha') = 0$); hence

$$U' = -S(\alpha') = 0 \text{ and}$$

U is parallel along each ruling of M . \Rightarrow

Definition: A curve α in $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ is a geodesic of M provided its acceleration α'' is always normal to M .

Proposition: A geodesic has constant speed.

Proof: $\frac{d}{dt}(\|\alpha'\|^2) = \frac{d}{dt}(\alpha' \cdot \alpha')$

Video 46

$= 2\alpha' \cdot \alpha'' = 0$ because, α'' is normal to the surface. Hence, $\|\alpha'\|$ is constant. \square

Proposition: Any line in a surface is a geodesic.

Proof Any line $\alpha(t) = p + tq$ has $\alpha'' = 0$ and thus α'' is normal to M . Hence, α is a geodesic. \blacksquare

Examples Let P be a plane in \mathbb{R}^3 . If α is any curve in P , then $\alpha' \cdot U = 0$ and hence, $\alpha'' \cdot U + \alpha \cdot U' = 0$. However, $U' = 0$ (since the surface is a plane) and thus $\alpha'' \cdot U = 0$. Finally, since α is a geodesic

$\alpha'' = \lambda U$ and thus $0 = \lambda U \cdot U = \lambda$
 $\Rightarrow \alpha'' = 0$. So $\alpha' = q$ is a
constant and thus $\alpha = pt + q$, i.e.,
 α is a line. So a curve in a
plane is a geodesic if and only if
 α is line.

2) Let $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ be a sphere, and
 \mathcal{P} be a plane through the center
of the sphere. Let α be a unit
speed parametrization of that the
circle, the intersection of Σ with
 \mathcal{P} . Since α is a circle in \mathcal{P}
its acceleration vector points
to the center. Hence α'' is
collinear to the line joining
 $\alpha(t)$ to the center of the
circle (and thus the sphere).

Hence $\alpha(t)$ is a geodesic in Σ .

Remark: It is known that great circles on a sphere are the only geodesics of the sphere.


3) Cylinders: $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$, $x^2 + y^2 = r^2$.

Claim: Any geodesic on M has the form

$$\alpha(t) = (r \cos(at+b), r \sin(at+b), ct+d).$$

Proof: Let $\alpha(t) = (r \cos \vartheta(t), r \sin \vartheta(t), h(t))$ be a geodesic on M .

Since $\alpha''(t) \parallel U = (\alpha, \alpha, 0)$, we see



that $h'(t) = 0$. Hence, $h(t) = ct + d$ for some $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. Also the

speed of any geodesic is constant and thus $\|\alpha'\| = \sqrt{(r\vartheta')^2 + c^2}$ is constant. Hence, ϑ' is constant

So $|v(t)| = at + b$ for some
 $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition: Let \mathcal{P} be a plane
orthogonal a surface M at any
point of intersection curve, say
 α . Then (assuming its unit speed)
the curve α is a geodesic.

Proof: Since α is a unit speed
curve in \mathcal{P} , $\alpha' \perp \alpha''$, where
both curves lie in \mathcal{P} . However,
 U is \mathcal{P} and $U \perp \alpha'$. Thus
 U and α'' are collinear. Hence,
 α'' is normal to the surface at
all points. This finishes the proof.

Example: In a surface of
revolution Σ a plane contain

ing the rotation axis is normal to the surface. Hence, any meridian is a geodesic.

Summary

Principal Curves	$K(\alpha') = k_1$ or k_2	$S(\alpha') \parallel \alpha'$
Asymptotic Curves	$K(\alpha') = 0$	$S'(\alpha) \perp \alpha'$ $\alpha'' \in T_p M$
Geodesics		$\alpha'' \perp T_p M.$

§2.7. Connection Forms:

Lemma: Let E_1, E_2, E_3 be a frame field on \mathbb{R}^3 . For each tangent vector v to \mathbb{R}^3 at the point p ,

$$\text{let } \omega_{i,j}(v) = (\nabla_v E_i) \cdot E_j(p),$$

for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. Then each $\omega_{i,j}$ is a 1-form, and $\omega_{i,j} = -\omega_{j,i}$. These

1-forms are called the connection forms of the frame field E_1, E_2, E_3 .

Proof: $\omega_{i,j}(av + bw) = (\nabla_{av+bw} E_i) \cdot E_j(p)$

$$= (a \nabla_v E_i + b \nabla_w E_i) \cdot E_j(p)$$

$$= a (\nabla_v E_i) \cdot E_j(p) + b (\nabla_w E_i) \cdot E_j(p)$$

$$= a \omega_{i,j}(v) + b \omega_{i,j}(w), \text{ hence}$$

$\omega_{i,j}$ is a 1-form.

For the second statement, consider

$$0 = v(\delta_{i,j}) = v(E_i \cdot E_j)$$

$$= \nabla_v E_i \cdot E_j(p) + E_i(p) \cdot \nabla_v E_j$$

$$= \omega_{i,j}(v) + \omega_{j,i}(v).$$

Hence, $\omega_{\sigma\tau}(V) = -\omega_{\tau\sigma}(V)$. \blacksquare

As a consequence we get

Theorem: For any vector field V on \mathbb{R}^3 we have

$$\nabla_V E_i = \sum_{\sigma} \omega_{i\sigma}(V) E_{\sigma}.$$

Proof. By definition

$\omega_{i\sigma}(V) = (\nabla_V E_i) \cdot E_{\sigma}(p)$. Since the E_1, E_2, E_3 is a frame the result follows. \blacksquare

Remarks

Since $\omega_{i\sigma} = -\omega_{\sigma i}$, we have $\omega_{ii} = 0$.

So the matrix

$$\omega = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_{11} & \omega_{12} & \omega_{13} \\ \omega_{21} & \omega_{22} & \omega_{23} \\ \omega_{31} & \omega_{32} & \omega_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \omega_{12} & \omega_{13} \\ -\omega_{12} & 0 & \omega_{23} \\ -\omega_{13} & -\omega_{23} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Given a frame field E_1, E_2, E_3 on \mathbb{R}^3

write $E_j = \sum_{\sigma=1}^3 a_{j\sigma} U_{\sigma}$, where

$a_{j\sigma} = E_j \cdot U_{\sigma}$. The matrix

$A = (a_{ij})$ is called the attitude matrix of the field E_1, E_2, E_3 .

Define dA as $dA = (da_{ij})$, a matrix of 1-forms.

Theorem: Assume the above setup.

Then $\omega = dA \cdot A^t$ (matrix multiplication) or equivalently

$$\omega_{ij} = \sum_k a_{jk} da_{ik} \quad \forall i, j.$$

Proof: $E_i = \sum_k a_{ik} U_k$

$$\omega_{ij}(v) = (\nabla_v E_i) \cdot E_j(p)$$

$$= (\nabla_v \sum_k a_{ik} U_k) \cdot (\sum_l a_{jl} U_l)$$

$$= (\sum_k da_{ik}(v) U_k + \sum_k a_{ik} \nabla_v U_k)$$

$$\cdot (\sum_l a_{jl} U_l)$$

$$= \sum_{k,l} da_{ik}(v) a_{jl} \overbrace{U_k \cdot U_l}^{= \delta_{kl}}$$

$$+ \sum_{k,l} a_{ik} a_{jl} \underbrace{(\nabla_v U_k) \cdot U_l}_{= 0}$$

$$= \sum_k a_{jk} da_{ik}(v).$$

$$\text{So } \omega_{ij} = \sum_k a_{jk} da_{ik}.$$

§ 2.8. The Structural Equations:

Definition: For a frame field

E_1, E_2, E_3 on \mathbb{R}^3 , we define the

dual 1-forms $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ as

$$\theta_i(v) = v \cdot E_i(p), \text{ for any vector } v \in T_p \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Example: Consider the natural

frame U_1, U_2, U_3 . Then

$$\theta_i(v) = v \cdot U_i(p) = v_i, \quad v = (v_1, v_2, v_3).$$

$$\text{So } \theta_i = dx_i, \quad x_i: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$x_i(v) = v_i.$$

Lemma: Let E_i and $\theta_i, i=1,2,3$,

be as above. Then any 1-form

ϕ on \mathbb{R}^3 has a unique expression

Video 4P

$$\phi = \sum_{i=1}^3 \phi(E_i) \theta_i.$$

Proof $(\sum \phi(E_i) \theta_i)(V) = \sum \phi(E_i) \theta_i(V)$
 $= \phi(\sum \theta_i(V) E_i) = \phi(V)$, for

any vector field V . So the result follows. \square

Recall the matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ is defined by the equation

$$E_i = \sum a_{ij} U_j.$$

Hence, $\theta_i(V) = V \cdot E_i(p)$

$$= V \cdot (\sum a_{ij} U_j(p))$$

$$= \sum a_{ij} (V \cdot U_j(p))$$

$$= \sum a_{ij} dx_j(V),$$

and thus $\theta_i = \sum a_{ij} dx_j$.

Since $a_{ij} = E_i \cdot U_j = \theta_i(U_j)$

and thus $\theta_i = \sum_j \theta_i(U_j) dx_j$.

Theorem (Cartan Structural Equations)

Let ξ_i , θ_i and ω_{ij} be as above. Then we have

1) the first structural equations:

$$d\theta_i = \sum_j \omega_{ij} \wedge \theta_j$$

2) the second structural equation:

$$d\omega_{ij} = \sum_k \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj}$$

Proof: 1) The equation obtained

above $\theta_i = \sum_j a_{ij} dx_j$ can be

written as $\theta = A d\xi$, where

$$\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \theta_3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } d\xi = \begin{pmatrix} dx_1 \\ dx_2 \\ dx_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta &= d(A d\xi) = dA d\xi - A \underbrace{d^2 \xi}_{=0} \\ &= dA d\xi \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= dA \cdot (A^t \cdot A) \cdot d\xi \\
&= (dA \cdot A^t) (A d\xi) \\
&= \omega \oplus, \text{ because}
\end{aligned}$$

the attitude matrix A is orthogonal so that $A^t A = \text{Id}$.

Recall that $E_i = a_{ij} U_j$ so that A is a base change matrix from an orthogonal frame U_i to another orthogonal frame E_i .

$$2) d\omega = d(dA A^t)$$

$$= -dA dA^t$$

$$= -(dA A^t) (A dA^t)$$

$$= -\omega (dA A^t)^t$$

$$= -\omega \omega^t$$

$$= \omega \omega, \text{ because } \omega^t = -\omega.$$

Here, $\omega \omega$ we mean matrix multiplication of 1-forms we entries are multiplied via wedge products.

Example: Consider the spherical coordinates given by

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \rho \cos \varphi \cos \nu \\ x_2 &= \rho \sin \varphi \cos \nu \\ x_3 &= \rho \sin \nu \end{aligned} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \rho & \varphi & \nu \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $\Theta_1 = \sum a_{1j} dx_j$, where

$$a_{1j} = E_j \cdot U_j$$

$$E_1 = \frac{(\cos \varphi \cos \nu, \sin \varphi \cos \nu, \sin \nu)}{1}$$

$$= (\overset{a_{11}}{\cos \varphi \cos \nu}, \overset{a_{12}}{\sin \varphi \cos \nu}, \overset{a_{13}}{\sin \nu})$$

$$E_2 = \frac{(-\rho \sin \varphi \cos \nu, \rho \cos \varphi \cos \nu, 0)}{\rho \cos \nu}$$

$$= (\overset{a_{21}}{-\sin \varphi}, \overset{a_{22}}{\cos \varphi}, \overset{a_{23}}{0})$$

$$E_3 = \frac{(-\rho \cos \varphi \sin \nu, -\rho \sin \varphi \sin \nu, \rho \cos \nu)}{\rho}$$

$$= (\overset{a_{31}}{-\cos \varphi \sin \nu}, \overset{a_{32}}{-\sin \varphi \sin \nu}, \overset{a_{33}}{\cos \nu})$$

$$\text{So, } \Theta_1 = \sum a_{1j} dx_j$$

$$= a_{11} dx_1 + a_{12} dx_2 + a_{13} dx_3$$

$$= (\cos \varphi \cos \nu) (\cos \varphi \cos \nu d\rho -$$

$$\rho \sin \varphi \cos \nu d\varphi - \rho \cos \varphi \sin \nu d\nu)$$

$$+ (\sin \varphi \cos \nu) (\sin \varphi \cos \nu d\rho -$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \underbrace{p \cos \varphi \cos \nu \, d\varphi} - \underbrace{p \sin \varphi \sin \nu \, d\nu} \\ & + \underbrace{\sin \nu} \left(\underbrace{\sin \nu \, dp} + \underbrace{p \cos \nu \, d\nu} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So, } \Theta_1 = \underline{dp} + \underline{0} + \underline{0} = dp.$$

Similarly,

$$\Theta_2 = p \cos \varphi \, d\nu \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_3 = p \, d\varphi.$$

As we've computed in a theorem that $\omega = dA \, A^t$ or equivalently

$$\omega_{i\bar{j}} = \sum_k a_{\bar{j}k} \, da_{ik}, \quad \text{we obtain}$$

$$\omega_{12} = \cos \varphi \, d\nu, \quad \omega_{13} = d\varphi \quad \text{and}$$

$$\omega_{23} = \sin \varphi \, d\nu.$$

Now from the first structural equation

$$d\Theta_i = \sum_{\bar{j}} \omega_{i\bar{j}} \wedge \Theta_{\bar{j}}.$$

So, say

$$d\Theta_3 = \omega_{31} \wedge \Theta_1 + \omega_{32} \wedge \Theta_2$$

$$= dp \wedge d\varphi, \quad \text{which is really}$$

the case since $\Theta_3 = p \, d\varphi$.

Let's compute also $d\omega_{i\bar{j}}$.

From the second structural equation

$$d\omega_{ij} = \sum_k \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj}.$$

Using the skew-symmetry of ω_{ij} we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega_{12} &= \sum_{k=1}^3 \omega_{1k} \wedge \omega_{k2} = \omega_{13} \wedge \omega_{32} \\ &= d\varphi \wedge (-\sin\varphi d\vartheta) \end{aligned}$$

$$= -\sin\varphi d\varphi \wedge d\vartheta, \text{ which}$$

is really $d\omega_{12} = d(\cos\varphi d\vartheta)$.


CHAPTER 6: Geometry of Surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3

§6.1. The Fundamental Equations:


Definition: An adapted frame field E_1, E_2, E_3 on a region Q in $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ is a Euclidean frame such that E_3 is always normal to M . (So E_1 and E_2 are tangent to M).

Lemma: There is an adapted frame field on a region Q in M if and only if Q is orientable.

Examples 1)



2)



Definition: The 1-forms ω_{ij}

defined by the equation

$$\nabla_V E_i = \sum_{j=1}^3 \omega_{ij}(V) E_j(p)$$

are called connection 1-forms on M .

Lemma: $S(V) = \omega_{31}(V) E_1(p)$

$$+ \omega_{23}(V) E_2(p)$$

Proof By definition $S(V) = -\nabla_V E_3$.

$$\text{Hence, } S(V) = -\nabla_V E_3 = -\omega_{31}(V) E_1(p)$$

$$- \omega_{32}(V) E_2(p) = \omega_{31}(V) E_1(p) + \omega_{23}(V) E_2(p)$$

because $\omega_{ij} = -\omega_{ji}$ and $\omega_{ii} = 0$.

$$\left[E_i \cdot E_k = \delta_{ik} \Rightarrow 0 = \nabla_V (E_i \cdot E_k) = 0 \right.$$

$$\nabla_V E_i \cdot E_k + \nabla_V E_k \cdot E_i = 0$$

$$\left(\sum_j \omega_{ij}(V) E_j \right) \cdot E_k + \left(\sum_j \omega_{kj}(V) E_j \right) \cdot E_i = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_{ik}(V) + \omega_{ki}(V) = 0 \quad \left. \right]$$

Definition: Given an orthonormal frame

E_1, E_2, E_3 for a region Q in M

we define dual 1-forms $\Theta_1, \Theta_2,$

Θ_3 by $\Theta_i(v) = v \cdot E_i(p)$.

Note that if v is a tangent vector field then $\Theta_3(v) = v \cdot E_3(p) = 0$.

Thus Θ_3 is identically zero on M .

Example: Let Σ be a sphere of radius r and consider spherical coordinates on Σ . By shifting

the indices of the example we

studied in § 2.9 by $1 \rightarrow 3,$

$2 \rightarrow 1, 3 \rightarrow 2$ we obtain

$$\Theta_1 = r \cos \varphi \, d\vartheta, \quad \Theta_2 = r \, d\varphi$$

$$\omega_{12} = \sin \varphi \, d\vartheta, \quad \omega_{13} = -\cos \vartheta \, d\vartheta$$

$$\text{and } \omega_{23} = -d\varphi.$$

Theorem: If E_1, E_2, E_3 is an adapted frame for M then we have:

$$1) \begin{cases} d\theta_1 = \omega_{12} \wedge \theta_2 \\ d\theta_2 = \omega_{21} \wedge \theta_1 \end{cases} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{First structural} \\ \text{equation} \end{array}$$

$$2) \omega_{31} \wedge \theta_1 + \omega_{32} \wedge \theta_2 = 0$$

Symmetry equation

$$3) d\omega_{12} = \omega_{13} \wedge \omega_{32} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Gauss} \\ \text{Equation} \end{array}$$

$$4) \begin{cases} d\omega_{13} = \omega_{12} \wedge \omega_{23} \\ d\omega_{23} = \omega_{21} \wedge \omega_{13} \end{cases} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Codazzi} \\ \text{Equation} \end{array}$$

Proof: Recall Cartan Structural Equations.

$$1) d\theta_i = \sum_j \omega_{ij} \wedge \theta_j, \text{ and}$$

$$2) d\omega_{ij} = \sum_k \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj}.$$

So, for example

$$d\theta_1 = \omega_{12} \wedge \theta_2 \text{ and } d\omega_{13} = \omega_{12} \wedge \omega_{23}.$$

§ 6.2. Form Computations:

Let $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ be a surface, E_1, E_2, E_3 an adapted frame on M and $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ the dual 1-form:

$$\theta_i(E_j) = \delta_{ij} \text{ for all } i, j.$$

Lemma (The Basis Formula)

If ϕ is a 1-form on M and ψ is a 2-form on M , then

$$1) \quad \phi = \phi(E_1)\theta_1 + \phi(E_2)\theta_2,$$

$$2) \quad \psi = \psi(E_1, E_2)\theta_1 \wedge \theta_2.$$

Proof: Since E_1, E_2 form a basis for $T_p M$ at any $p \in M$ it is enough to check the identities on these vectors.

$$1) \quad (\phi(E_1)\theta_1 + \phi(E_2)\theta_2)(E_1) = \phi(E_1)\theta_1(E_1) \\ = \phi(E_1)$$

and similarly,
 $(\phi(E_1)\theta_1 + \phi(E_2)\theta_2)(E_2) = \phi(E_2)$ and

thus, $\phi = \phi(E_1)\theta_1 + \phi(E_2)\theta_2$.

$$2) \nu(E_1, E_2)\theta_1 \wedge \theta_2(E_1, E_2)$$

$$= \nu(E_1, E_2) \det \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1(E_1) & \theta_1(E_2) \\ \theta_2(E_1) & \theta_2(E_2) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \nu(E_1, E_2) \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \nu(E_1, E_2).$$

Hence, $\nu = \nu(E_1, E_2)\theta_1 \wedge \theta_2$. \blacktriangleright

lemma: 1) $\omega_{13} \wedge \omega_{23} = K \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2$

$$2) \omega_{13} \wedge \theta_2 + \theta_1 \wedge \omega_{23} = 2H \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2.$$

Proof: By definition

$$\delta(E_1) = -\nabla_{E_1} E_3 \quad (E_3 = U)$$

$$= -\omega_{31}(E_1)E_1 - \omega_{32}(E_1)E_2$$

and

$$\delta(E_2) = -\nabla_{E_2} E_3$$

$$= -\omega_{31}(E_2)E_1 - \omega_{32}(E_2)E_2.$$

Thus the matrix representation of the shape operator in the basis $\{E_1, E_2\}$ of $T_p M$ becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_{13}(E_1) & \omega_{13}(E_2) \\ \omega_{23}(E_1) & \omega_{23}(E_2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the part (1) of the lemma

$$\begin{aligned} K \Theta_1 \wedge \Theta_2(E_1, E_2) &= K = \det(S) \\ &= \omega_{13}(E_1) \omega_{23}(E_2) - \omega_{13}(E_2) \omega_{23}(E_1) \\ &= \omega_{13} \wedge \omega_{23}(E_1, E_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\omega_{13} \wedge \omega_{23} = K \Theta_1 \wedge \Theta_2$.

The second statement can be handled similarly.

By the second structural equation we have $d\omega_{12} = -\omega_{13} \wedge \omega_{23}$ (Gauss Equation) and thus

Corollary $d\omega_{12} = -K \Theta_1 \wedge \Theta_2$.

Remark: In the previous section (§ 6.1) we had computed Θ_i and ω_{ij} for spherical coordinates on a sphere of radius r . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_1 \wedge \Theta_2 &= r^2 \cos \varphi \, d\varphi \wedge dr \\ &= -r^2 \sin \varphi \, d\varphi \, dr, \text{ and} \\ d\omega_{1,2} &= d(\sin \varphi \, dr) \\ &= \cos \varphi \, d\varphi \, dr.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the above Corollary we see that $K = 1/r^2$, as expected.

Definition: A principal frame on a surface M is an adapted frame E_1, E_2, E_3 so that E_1 and E_2 are principal vectors.

Lemma: If p is a nonumbilic point of $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$, then there exists a principal frame in a neighborhood of p in M .

Proof: By hypothesis $k_1(p) \neq k_2(p)$

and thus since k_i 's are continuous functions $k_1(p) \neq k_2(p)$ in a neighborhood U of p . Principal directions are unit vectors along eigenvectors of the shape operator. Let S have matrix representation in some adapted frame $\{F_1, F_2, F_3\}$ as

$$S = (S_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} \\ S_{12} & S_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\det(S - \lambda I) = \lambda^2 - 2H\lambda + K = 0$$

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{2H \pm \sqrt{4H^2 - 4K}}{2} = H \pm \sqrt{H^2 - K}$$

$$k_1 = H - \sqrt{H^2 - K}, \quad k_2 = H + \sqrt{H^2 - K}$$

For the eigenvector corresponding to k_1 , we have the linear equation

$$(S_{11} - k_1)a + S_{12}b = 0. \text{ So}$$

$(a, b) = (S_{12}, k_1 - S_{11})$ and thus $S_{12}F_1 + (k_1 - S_{11})F_2$ is an

Video 51

eigenvectors. So we may let

$$E_1 = \frac{S_{12}F_1 + (k_1 - S_{11})F_2}{\|S_{12}F_1 + (k_1 - S_{11})F_2\|}$$

$$\text{Similarly, } E_2 = \frac{(k_2 - S_{22})F_1 + S_{12}F_2}{\|(k_2 - S_{22})F_1 + S_{12}F_2\|}$$

Finally, let $E_3 = E_1 \times E_2$. Hence, E_1, E_2, E_3 is the desired "principal frame".

Now $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ is a principal frame $\delta(E_1) = k_1 E_1$ and

$$\delta(E_2) = k_2 E_2. \text{ However, by a}$$

Corollary from §6.1 we had

$$\delta(V) = \omega_{13}(V)E_1 + \omega_{23}(V)E_2 \text{ and}$$

$$\text{hence, } \omega_{13}(E_1) = k_1, \omega_{13}(E_2) = 0,$$

$$\text{and } \omega_{23}(E_1) = 0, \omega_{23}(E_2) = k_2.$$

$$\text{So, } \omega_{13} = k_1 \theta_1, \text{ and } \omega_{23} = k_2 \theta_2.$$

We'll finish this section with the following version of Codazzi equations.

Theorem: If E_1, E_2, E_3 is a principal frame field on $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$, then $E_1 [k_2] = (k_1 - k_2) \omega_{12}(E_2)$
 $E_2 [k_1] = (k_1 - k_2) \omega_{12}(E_1)$.

Proof: From the Codazzi Equations

$$d\omega_{13} = \omega_{12} \wedge \omega_{23} \text{ and}$$

$$d\omega_{23} = \omega_{21} \wedge \omega_{13}.$$

By the line above the theorem

$$\omega_{13} = k_1 \theta_1 \text{ and } \omega_{23} = k_2 \theta_2 \text{ and}$$

hence,

$$d(k_1 \theta_1) = d\omega_{13} = \omega_{12} \wedge k_2 \theta_2$$

$$\Rightarrow dk_1 \wedge \theta_1 + k_1 d\theta_1 = k_2 \omega_{12} \wedge \theta_2.$$

However, by structural equations

$$d\theta_1 = \omega_{12} \wedge \theta_2 \text{ and thus we get}$$

$$dk_1 \wedge \theta_1 = (k_2 - k_1) \omega_{12} \wedge \theta_2.$$

Now compute the above two forms on the pair of vectors E_1, E_2 :

$$(dk_1 \wedge \theta_1)(E_1, E_2) = -dk_1(E_2)$$

$$(k_2 - k_1)\omega_{12} \wedge \theta_2(E_1, E_2) = (k_2 - k_1)\omega_{12}(E_1)$$

and thus

$$E_2[k_1] = dk_1(E_2) = (k_1 - k_2)\omega_{12}(E_1),$$

the first desired equality.

The second one is obtained similarly. \square

§6.3. Some Global Theorems:

Theorem: If the shape operator is identically zero, then M is part of a plane in \mathbb{R}^3 , provided M is connected.

Proof: $S = 0$ implies that for any unit normal vector field U
 $0 = S_v(U) = -U'$, so that U is parallel (constant) along any vector v .

Choose any point $p \in M$. For any other point q , choose a path $\alpha(t)$ so that $\alpha(0) = p$ and $\alpha(1) = q$.

Consider the function

$$f(t) = (\alpha(t) - p) \cdot U. \quad \begin{matrix} 0 \\ \parallel \\ \nearrow \end{matrix}$$

$$\text{Now, } f'(t) = \alpha'(t) \cdot U + \alpha(t) \cdot U'$$

$$= \alpha'(t) \cdot U = 0 \text{ for all } t, \text{ and}$$

$$f(0) = (p - p) \cdot U = 0. \text{ Hence, } f(t)$$

is identically zero.

In particular,

$0 = f'(U) = (p - q) \cdot U$, and thus q lies in the plane Π containing p , whose normal is U . Since, $q \in M$ is an arbitrary point we see that $M \subseteq \Pi$.

A surface M is called all-umbilic if the every point of M is umbilic.

lemma: If M is a connected all-umbilic then M has constant Gaussian curvature $K \geq 0$.

Proof: let E_1, E_2, E_3 be a frame on M so that E_1, E_2 are tangent to M (and hence $E_3 \perp M$).

So at any point $p \in M$,

$k_1(p) = k_2(p) = k(p)$ for some function k . By a theorem of previous section

$$E_1[k_2] = (k_1 - k_2)\omega_{12}(E_2),$$

$$E_2[k_1] = (k_1 - k_2)\omega_{12}(E_1) \text{ and thus}$$

$$E_1[k_2] = 0 \text{ and } E_2[k_1] = 0.$$

(Since M is all umbilic all directions are principal.)

$$\text{Hence, } dk[E_1] = dk[E_2] = 0.$$

$$\text{So } dk = 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{Q} \Rightarrow K = k_1 k_2 = k^2$$

$$\text{and } dK = 2k dk = 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{Q}. \text{ Hence}$$

$$dK = 0 \text{ on all of } \mathcal{Q}, \text{ i.e., } K \text{ is}$$

constant.

Theorem: If $M \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is all umbilic

and $k > 0$, then M is a part of a

sphere of radius $1/k$.

Proof: let $p \in M$ and consider the point $c = p + \frac{1}{k(p)} E_3(p)$, where

E_1, E_2, E_3 is an adapted frame for M . Let $q \in M$ be any other point and choose a curve

$\alpha: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ so that $\alpha(0) = p$ and $\alpha(1) = q$. Now let γ be the curve, $\gamma(t) = \alpha(t) + \frac{1}{k(\alpha(t))} E_3(\gamma(t))$,

where $k(p) = k_1(p) = k_2(p)$. By the previous lemma $k(p) = k_1(p)k_2(p) = k(p)^2$ is a constant function.

Thus $\gamma'(t) = \alpha'(t) + \frac{1}{k} E_3'$.

However, $E_3' = U' = -S(\alpha') = -k\alpha'$ so that S is a scalar function,

because k is constant. Thus

$\gamma' = \alpha' + \frac{1}{k}(-k\alpha') = 0$, so that

γ is a constant function. Thus, $c = \gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = q + \frac{1}{k} E_3(q)$ and hence, $d(c, q) = \frac{1}{|k|}$ for every point q of M . Since $K = k^2$, $d(c, q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}}$ for all $q \in M$. Hence, M is a part of a sphere of center c with radius $\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}}$.

Finally, the three results above imply the following

Corollary A surface M is all-umbilic if and only if M is a part of a plane or a sphere.

In particular, if M is a compact surface then M is a sphere.

Theorem: On every compact surface M in \mathbb{R}^3 there is a point at

which the Gaussian curvature K is positive.

Proof: Let $f: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function $f(p) = \|p\|^2$, the square of the distance of p to the origin. Since f is continuous and M is compact there is a point $m \in M$ so that $f(m)$ is the maximum value of f on M .

Claim: Let $r = \|m\| > 0$. Then

$$K(m) \geq \frac{1}{r^2} > 0.$$

Note that the claim proves the theorem.

Proof of the claim: Let $u \in T_m M$ be a unit vector and choose a unit speed curve α on M with $\alpha(0) = m$ and $\alpha'(0) = u$.

Thus the function $f(\alpha(t))$ has its maximum at $t=0$. Thus $\frac{d}{dt}(f(\alpha(t)))|_0 = 0$ and $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(f(\alpha(t)))|_0 \leq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (f(\alpha(t)))'|_0 = (\alpha(t) \cdot \alpha(t))'|_0 \\ &= 2\alpha(0) \cdot \alpha'(0) = 2m \cdot u \end{aligned}$$

However, u is an arbitrary unit vector in $\overline{T_p M}$ we see that m is normal to M at m .

Now, $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(f\alpha) = 2\alpha' \cdot \alpha' + 2\alpha \cdot \alpha''$

and hence $2\alpha' \cdot \alpha' + 2\alpha \cdot \alpha'' \leq 0$, at $t=0$. So

$$u \cdot u + m \cdot \alpha''(0) \leq 0.$$

$$1 + m \cdot \alpha''(0) \leq 0 \Rightarrow m \cdot \alpha''(0) \leq -1.$$

Since $\|m\| = r$, $\frac{m}{r}$ is a unit normal to M at the

point m . We know that

$$k(u) = \frac{m}{r} \cdot \alpha''(0) \leq -1/r.$$

Again, since $u \in T_m M$ is arbitrary $k(u) \leq -1/r$ for all $u \in T_m U$ so that

$K(m) = k_1(u_1)k_2(u_2) \geq \frac{1}{r^2}$, where $k_i(u_i)$, $i=1,2$, are the principal curvatures at m .

Corollary There is no compact surface in \mathbb{R}^3 with $K \leq 0$.

Lemma 1 (Hölbent) Let m be a point of $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

- 1) k_1 has a local maximum at m ,
- 2) k_2 has a local minimum at m ,
- 3) $k_1(m) > k_2(m)$.

Then $K(m) \leq 0$.

Proof: Since $k_1(m) > k_2(m)$, m is not umbilic and thus by a lemma proved previously there is a principal frame field E_1, E_2, E_3 on a neighborhood of m in M .

Fact: Let f be a function on M so that f has a maximum (minimum) at a point p . If V is a vector at p , then $V[f] = 0$ and $VV[f] \leq 0$ ($VV[f] \geq 0$).

So by this fact

$$E_1[k_2] = E_2[k_1] = 0 \text{ at } m$$

and $E_1 E_1[k_2] \geq 0$ and

$$E_2 E_2[k_1] \leq 0 \text{ at } m.$$

Now from the Codazzi equation which says that

$$E_1[k_2] = (k_1 - k_2) \omega_{1,2}(E_2) \text{ and}$$

$$E_2[k_1] = (k_1 - k_2) \omega_{1,2}(E_1),$$

We deduce that

$$\omega_{1,2}(E_1) = \omega_{1,2}(E_2) = 0 \text{ at } m,$$

because $k_1 - k_2 \neq 0$.

By Exercise 2 of §6.2. we get

$$(*) K = E_2[\omega_{1,2}(E_1)] - E_1[\omega_{1,2}(E_2)] \text{ at } m.$$

Apply E_1 to the first Codazzi equation (both sides are functions)

to get

$$E_1 E_1[k_2] = (E_1[k_1] - E_1[k_2]) \omega_{1,2}(E_2) \\ + (k_1 - k_2) E_1[\omega_{1,2}(E_2)].$$

However, at the point m , $\omega_{1,2} = 0$

and $k_1 - k_2 > 0$. Thus $E_1[\omega_{1,2}(E_2)] \geq 0$

at m , because $E_1 E_1[k_2] \geq 0$.

Similarly, taking E_2 derivative of the second Codazzi equation

$$E_2[\omega_{12}(E, \cdot)] \leq 0 \text{ at } m.$$

Hence by (*) we get $K(m) \leq 0$.

Theorem: (Liebmann)

If M is a compact surface in \mathbb{R}^3 with constant Gaussian curvature K , then M is a sphere of radius $1/\sqrt{K}$ (by the compactness of M , $K > 0$).


Proof: Since $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ compact M is orientable by some topological facts, which cannot be proved by the tools of the course. So we have smooth unit normal vector field defined on all of M . Therefore, principal curvature functions are globally

defined on M and $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq 0$ at
 all points. In particular, k_1
 has a maximum at some point
 say $p \in M$. Since, $K = k_1 k_2$ is
 constant k_2 has minimum
 at p . If $k_1(p) > k_2(p)$ then by
 the previous lemma $K(p) \leq 0$, a
 contradiction since we have
 $K > 0$ is a constant function.
 Thus we must have $k_1(p) = k_2(p)$.
 This implies $k_1(q) = k_2(q)$ for
 all $q \in M$, because $k_1 \geq k_2$ and
 the maximum value of k_1 is
 equal to the minimum value
 of k_2 . So M is all-umbilic
 and thus it is a sphere of
 radius $1/\sqrt{K}$. \square

Video 51 (Continued)

§6.4. Isometries and Local Isometries:

§4.1. Definition: If p and q are points of $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$, the intrinsic distance from p to q is defined to be the infimum of lengths $L(\alpha)$ of all curves α from p to q . It is denoted as $\rho(p, q)$.



Video 52

Definition: An isometry $F: M \rightarrow \bar{M}$ of surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 is a 1-1 and onto smooth mapping so that $F_*(v) \cdot F_*(w) = v \cdot w$, for all $v, w \in T_p M$ and $p \in M$.

Theorem: Isometries preserve intrinsic distance: If $F: M \rightarrow \bar{M}$ is an isometry then

$$d(p, q) = \bar{d}(F(p), F(q))$$

for all $p, q \in M$.

Proof: If α is a smooth curve in M with $\alpha(a) = p$

and $\alpha(b) = q$, say, then

$$L(\alpha) = \int_a^b \|\alpha'(t)\| dt \text{ and}$$

$F(\alpha)$ is a smooth curve in \bar{M} from $F(p)$ to $F(q)$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{length } L(F\alpha) &= \int_a^b \|(F\alpha)'(t)\| dt \\
 &= \int_a^b \|\alpha'(t)\| dt \\
 &= L(\alpha).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $L(\alpha) = L(F\alpha) \geq \bar{\rho}(F(p), F(q))$.

and thus $\rho(p, q) \geq \bar{\rho}(F(p), F(q))$.

On the other hand, since F is an isometry $F^{-1}: \bar{M} \rightarrow M$

exists and is also an

isometry. Thus, we get

$$\bar{\rho}(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) \geq \rho(F^{-1}(\bar{p}), F^{-1}(\bar{q})).$$

or letting $\bar{p} = F(p)$, $\bar{q} = F(q)$,

$$\bar{\rho}(F(p), F(q)) \geq \rho(p, q).$$

Therefore, $\rho(p, q) = \bar{\rho}(F(p), F(q))$

for all $p, q \in M$. This finishes

the proof. \square

Definition: A local isometry

$F: M \rightarrow N$ of surfaces is a mapping that preserves dot products of tangent vectors.

Remark If $F: M \rightarrow N$ is a local isometry then F_x is

an isomorphism ($F_x(v) \cdot F_x(w) = v \cdot w \Rightarrow \|F_x(v)\|^2 = \|v\|^2$

so that if $F_x(v) = 0$ then $v = 0$).

Hence, any local isomorphism is a local diffeomorphism.

Lemma: Let $F: M \rightarrow N$ be any smooth mapping. For each patch $x: D \rightarrow M$, consider the composite

mapping $\bar{x}: F \circ x: D \rightarrow N$. Then

F is a local isometry if and only if for each patch x

we have $E = \bar{E}$, $F = \bar{F}$, $G = \bar{G}$.

(Here, \bar{x} need not to be a patch.)

Proof: $\bar{x}(u, v) = F(x(u, v))$ and

thus $\bar{x}_u = F_* x_u$ and $\bar{x}_v = F_* x_v$.

So if F is an isometry then

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{E} &= \bar{x}_u \cdot \bar{x}_u = F_* x_u \cdot F_* x_u \\ &= x_u \cdot x_u = E.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\bar{F} = F$ and $\bar{G} = G$.

Conversely, let $E = \bar{E}$, $F = \bar{F}$ and

$G = \bar{G}$. If $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in T_p M$ then

$\omega_1 = a_1 x_u + b_1 x_v$ and

$\omega_2 = a_2 x_u + b_2 x_v$, for some

$a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$ because $\{x_u, x_v\}$ is a

basis for $T_p M$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}F_* \omega_1 \cdot F_* \omega_2 &= F_* (a_1 x_u + b_1 x_v) \cdot \\ &F_* (a_2 x_u + b_2 x_v)\end{aligned}$$


$$= a_1 a_2 F_* x_u \cdot F_* x_u +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1) F_x(x_u) \cdot F_x(x_v) \\
& + b_1 b_2 F_x(x_u) \cdot F_x(x_v) \\
& = a_1 a_2 x_u \cdot x_u + (a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1) x_u \cdot x_v \\
& \quad + b_1 b_2 x_v \cdot x_v \\
& = (a_1 x_u + b_1 x_v) \cdot (a_2 x_u + b_2 x_v) \\
& = \omega_1 \cdot \omega_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, F^{-1} is a local isometry. \square

Ex 1) Let $M: x^2 + y^2 = r^2$ be a cylinder and $x: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow M$ be the parametrization given by $x(u, v) = (r \cos \frac{u}{r}, r \sin \frac{u}{r}, v)$. We've computed before that $E=1$, $F=0$ and $G=1$. Thus


x is a local isometry




Video 53

2) Local Isometry of a helicoid
onto a catenoid.

Let $x(u, v) = (u \cos v, u \sin v, v)$ be
a patch for the helicoid and
 $y(u, v) = (g(u), h(u) \cos v, h(u) \sin v)$
where $g(u) = \sinh^{-1} u$ and
 $h(u) = \sqrt{1+u^2}$ a parametrization
for the catenoid:



Helicoid



One can see easily that

$F(x(u, v)) = y(u, v)$ is an isometry

with $E = \bar{E} = 1$, $F = \bar{F} = 0$ and
 $G = \bar{G} = 1 + u^2 = h^2(u, v)$.

F just wraps the helicoid
around the catenoid.

Definition: A mapping $F: M \rightarrow N$
of surfaces is conformal if
there is a smooth real valued
function $\lambda: M \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ so
that $\|F_* (v_p)\| = \lambda(p) \|v_p\|$
for all $v_p \in T_p M$ and $p \in M$.

§6.5. Intrinsic Geometry of Surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Lemma: The connection form $\omega_{12} = -\omega_{21}$ is the only 1-form that satisfies the first structural equations

$$d\theta_1 = \omega_{12} \wedge \theta_2, \quad d\theta_2 = \omega_{21} \wedge \theta_1.$$

Proof: $d\theta_1(E_1, E_2) = \omega_{12}(E_1)\theta_2(E_2) - \omega_{12}(E_2)\theta_2(E_1)$

$$\Rightarrow d\theta_1(E_1, E_2) = \omega_{12}(E_1) \text{ and}$$

$$d\theta_2(E_1, E_2) = \omega_{21}(E_1)\theta_1(E_2) - \omega_{21}(E_2)\theta_1(E_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow d\theta_2(E_1, E_2) = \omega_{21}(E_2).$$

So, $\omega_{12}(E_1) = d\theta_1(E_1, E_2)$ and

$$\omega_{12}(E_2) = d\theta_2(E_1, E_2).$$

Since any 1-form is determined by its values on a basis the proof finishes. \square

Video 54

Lemma: Let $F: M \rightarrow \bar{M}$ be an isometry, and let E_1, E_2 be a tangent frame field on M . If \bar{E}_1, \bar{E}_2 is the transferred frame field on \bar{M}

$$1) \theta_i = F^*(\bar{\theta}_i), \quad \omega_{12} = F^*(\bar{\omega}_{12});$$

$$2) \omega_{12} = F^*(\bar{\omega}_{12}).$$

Here $\bar{E}_i = F_*(E_i)$ and

$$\bar{E}_2 = F_*(E_2).$$

Proof $F^*(\bar{\theta}_i)(E_j) = \bar{\theta}_i(F_*(E_j))$
 $= \bar{\theta}_i(\bar{E}_j)$
 $= \delta_{ij}.$

Hence, $\theta_i = F^*(\bar{\theta}_i).$

This proves (1).

By the previous lemma

it is enough to show that

Since $d\bar{\theta}_1 = \bar{\omega}_{12} \wedge \bar{\theta}_2$ and

$d\bar{\theta}_2 = \bar{\omega}_{21} \wedge \bar{\theta}_1$ we have

$$F^*(d\bar{\theta}_1) = F^*(\bar{\omega}_{12} \wedge \bar{\theta}_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow d(F^*\bar{\theta}_1) = F^*(\bar{\omega}_{12}) \wedge F^*(\bar{\theta}_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow d\theta_1 = F^*(\bar{\omega}_{12}) \wedge \theta_2 \text{ and}$$

similarly

$$d\theta_2 = F^*(\bar{\omega}_{21}) \wedge \theta_1.$$

Now by the previous lemma

$$F^*(\bar{\omega}_{12}) = \omega_{12}.$$

Theorem (Gauss's Theorema Egregium)

Gaussian curvature is an isometric invariant. Explicitly

if $F: M \rightarrow \bar{M}$ is an isometry

then $K(p) = \bar{K}(F(p))$, for

all $p \in M$.

Proof: Let $p \in M$ be any point
and E_1, E_2 a tangent frame
at p . Also let $\bar{E}_i = F_x(E_i), i =$

$1, 2$. By the previous lemma

$F_x(\bar{\omega}_{12}) = \omega_{12}$. On the other
hand, we know by §6.2

$$d\bar{\omega}_{12} = -\bar{K} \bar{\theta}_1 \wedge \bar{\theta}_2. \text{ Thus}$$


$$F^*(d\bar{\omega}_{12}) = F^*(-\bar{K} \bar{\theta}_1 \wedge \bar{\theta}_2)$$

$$d(F^*\bar{\omega}_{12}) = -\bar{K}(F) F^*(\bar{\theta}_1 \wedge \bar{\theta}_2)$$

$$d\omega_{12} = -\bar{K}(F) F^*(\bar{\theta}_1) \wedge F^*(\bar{\theta}_2)$$

$$d\omega_{12} = -\bar{K}(F) \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2$$

However, since $d\omega_{12} = -K \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2$

we see that $K = \bar{K}(F)$. 

Corollary Any part of a sphere
is not isometric to any part
of a plane.

Gauss-Bonnet Theorem:

If Σ_g is compact genus g surface in \mathbb{R}^3 then


$$\int_{\Sigma_g} K(p) dS = 4\pi(1-g),$$

where $K(p)$ is the Gaussian curvature of Σ_g and dS is the area form on Σ_g .

Proof Proof uses a topological fact: let Σ_g be triangulated so that the triangulation has

v vertices, e edges and f faces. Then $v - e + f = 2 - 2g$.

This number is called the Euler characteristic of the surface Σ_g and denoted as $\chi(\Sigma_g)$.

Ex  Tetrahedron may be

regard as a triangulation of the sphere S^2 . In this case,

$v=4$, $e=6$ and $f=4$. Then

$$\chi(S^2) = v - e + f = 4 - 6 + 4 = 2$$


Also we need a geometric fact about integrals of the Gaussian curvature:

Theorem: Let T be a triangle on a surface $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ so that the edges of T are geodesic curves on Σ . Then

$$\int_T \kappa(p) dS = \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 - \pi,$$

where θ_j 's are the interior angles of the triangle T .

We'll use this fact without proof.



Let Z be a sphere of radius r .

Then $K(\varphi) = \frac{1}{r^2}$ is the constant function.

So by the above fact

$$\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 - \pi = \int_{\Gamma} K(\varphi) dS$$


$$= \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{r^2} dS$$

$$= \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{\Gamma} dS$$

$$= \frac{1}{r^2} \text{Area}(\Gamma)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \text{Area}(\Gamma) &= \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2} + \theta_3 - \pi \right) r^2 \\ &= \theta_3 r^2. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the surface Σ_g with a geodesic triangulation:



Let the number of vertices, edges and faces of the triangulation are v , e and f , respectively.

By the topological fact we stated above $v - e + f = \chi(\Sigma_g) = 2 - 2g$.

Let T_1, \dots, T_f be the list of all triangles in the triangulation.

Note that Σ_g is the union of the triangles: $\Sigma_g = \overline{T_1} \cup \overline{T_2} \cup \dots \cup \overline{T_f}$.

Also let $\theta_1^i, \theta_2^i, \theta_3^i$ be the interior angles of the triangle T_i .

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Now, } \int_{\Sigma_0} \chi(p) dS &= \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^f T_i} \chi(p) dS \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^f \int_{T_i} \chi(p) dS \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^f (\theta_1^i + \theta_2^i + \theta_3^i - \pi) \\
&= -f\pi + \sum_{i=1}^f \theta_1^i + \theta_2^i + \theta_3^i
\end{aligned}$$

The above sum is the sum of interior angles of all the triangles.

That sum is clearly $2\pi \cdot V$, where V is the number of all vertices. Hence, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma_g} \chi(p) dS = -f\pi + 2\pi V.$$

Finally, we make the following observation: Each triangle has 3 edges and every edge is the edge of exactly two triangles.

Hence, $3f = 2e$. So

$$\int_{\Sigma_g} \chi(p) dS = -f\pi + 2\pi v$$

$$= 2\pi \left(v - \frac{f}{2} \right)$$

$$= 2\pi \left(v - e + e - \frac{f}{2} \right)$$

$$= 2\pi \left(v - e + \frac{3f}{2} - \frac{f}{2} \right)$$

$$= 2\pi (v - e + f)$$

$$= 2\pi \chi(\Sigma_g).$$

This finishes the proof. \square